

**APPENDIX II**  
**Monitoring Report #8—March 2010**

---

**FY09 Foster Parent Survey Annual Report**

**Braam Outcomes  
For FY09  
Survey of Foster Parents and  
Caregivers In Washington State**

**FY09 Annual Report**  
*(SESRC REPORT 10-001)*

BRM4  
January 2010

Prepared for

**Children's Administration**  
P.O.B. 45710  
1115 Washington Street SE  
Olympia, WA 98504-5710

Submitted by

John Tarnai, Ph.D.  
*Principal Investigator*

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center  
PO Box 644014; Wilson Hall 133  
Washington State University  
Pullman, WA 99164-4014  
509-335-1511  
509-335-0116 (fax)  
SESRC@wsu.edu  
[tarnai@wsu.edu](mailto:tarnai@wsu.edu)

---

---

**Profile**

- Title:** **Braam Outcomes for FY09 from the Survey of Foster Parents and Relative Caregivers in Washington State**
- Abstract:** This FY09 annual report presents the survey results and the outcome calculations for the fiscal year 2009 survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State. The SESRC has conducted this telephone survey of foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State annually since 2007. The main purpose of the survey is to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement. In developing the survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Washington State Foster Parent's Association, the Children's Administration (CA) Youth Advisory Group, and the foster parent liaisons. The previous surveys were conducted in spring of 2007 (SESRC Data Report #07-048), spring of 2008 (SESRC Data Report #08-044), and spring of 2009 (SESRC Data Report #09-040). The present report represents a change from a calendar year basis to a fiscal year basis, and a change in the survey methodology to quarterly implementation. Whereas the first two surveys asked questions about the previous calendar year, beginning with the third (2009) survey, questions are based on the previous six month period. The present FY09 annual report is based on the two quarterly surveys conducted in the last half of 2009 (which asked questions about the first six months of 2009), and a random half of the interviews conducted in the 2009 survey (which asked questions about the last six months of 2008).
- Method:** The survey methods included mailing a letter to a random sample of foster parents and relative caregivers prior to the start of the telephone calling. Telephone interviews were then attempted with as many people as could be reached during the survey period. SESRC made use of a computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) system, and a call scheduler to ensure that up to 15 call attempts were made to reach each respondent. The telephone interview averaged 20 minutes in length.
- Results:** For this report, the survey results are based on a total of **1,307** interviews. The cooperation rate for the telephone interview was **90%**. The overall response rate was **59.1%**; with a sample error of **± 2.5%**.
- Timeframe:** Fiscal Year 2009  
**Investigator:** John Tarnai, Ph.D.  
**Study Director:** Bruce Austin, M.S.  
**SESRC Report #:** 10-001  
**SESRC Acronym:** BRM4

## **Table of Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
Project Profile .....	i
I. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOME MEASUREMENT .....	1
Survey Design .....	1
Survey Implementation.....	1
Outcome Measurement .....	2
Trends for all Nine Braam Survey Outcomes .....	10
II. CALCULATION OF OUTCOMES	
C.1.1 Adequate training .....	16
C.1.2 Adequate support .....	17
C.1.3 Adequate information .....	21
D.1.5 Medically fragile care.....	26
D.1.3 SAY – Sexually Aggressive Youth .....	30
D.1.4 PAY – Physically Assaultive Youth .....	33
D.1.6 Monthly Caseworker visits .....	36
B.4.1 Behavioral Health Services.....	38
E.2.1 Sibling visits.....	41
III. APPENDICES	
Survey Results for FY09 .....	47
Survey Questionnaire .....	114
Survey Letter.....	132

## I. SURVEY IMPLEMENTATION AND OUTCOME MEASUREMENT

### Survey Design

**Study Background and Survey Objectives** This report represents a change from a calendar year basis to a fiscal year basis, and a change in the survey methodology to quarterly implementation. The first two surveys of foster parents and relative caregivers, conducted in 2007 and 2008, asked questions about the previous calendar year and each was conducted over a three-month period. For the FY09 survey it was decided that a quarterly implementation would make it easier for caregivers to respond to questions about the previous six month period, and would also allow the results to be reported for a fiscal year, rather than a calendar year. Thus, beginning with the FY09 survey, questions are based on the previous six month period.

Because of the transition from a calendar year report (2009) to a fiscal year report (July 2008 to June 2009), and because this is the first fiscal year report, the data for the present report include some interviews from the 2009 survey report, as well as new interviews conducted between July and December of 2009. By a consensus of the survey work group and the Braam Panel it was agreed that a random half of the data from the 2009 report (interviews conducted between January to June 2009) would be merged with the data from the July to December 2009 interviews. This was done so that the survey results would be based on data for the full 2009 fiscal year, and that there would be approximately an equal number of survey respondents for each half year. The survey conducted in January to June 2009 asked questions about the period from July through December of 2008. The survey conducted in July to December 2009 asked questions about the period from January through June of 2009.

***The present FY09 annual report is thus based on interviews conducted during the 2009 calendar year with questions that asked about caregiver experiences during the 2009 fiscal year which runs from July 2008 to June 2009.***

The main purpose of the survey is to obtain information that is helpful to all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement related to caregivers' work with foster children and associated outcomes and action steps. \* The foster parent survey addresses all areas of the Braam Settlement Agreement: placement stability, mental health, foster parent training and information, unsafe and inappropriate placements, sibling separation, and services to adolescents. Several types of questions are included in the survey:

- Monitoring- Certain questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward nine of the outcomes specified in the Braam Implementation Plan. These specific questions are used to calculate outcome data (see section II of this report).
- Informational- Some questions in the foster parent survey are related to the goals of the Braam Settlement Agreement, but will not be used by the Braam Panel to monitor compliance with the agreement. In these areas, these data will be used by Children's Administration, providers, and stakeholders to better understand the experiences of foster parents and inform practice improvement (see section III).
- Demographic and background information- The foster parent survey includes a number of demographic and background questions (see section III).

In developing the original survey design, questionnaire, and procedures, the SESRC consulted with the Braam Oversight Panel, the Foster Parent Association of Washington State (FPAWS), the Children's Administration (CA), foster parent liaisons, and the Braam Survey Advisory Group. The survey questionnaire was extensively reviewed by foster parents, Braam Panel members, Children's Administration staff, and Braam plaintiffs' attorneys, to ensure that it would provide adequate measures of specific Braam Panel outcomes over time.

\* The Braam Settlement Agreement is available online at the website below:

\*\* The Braam Implementation Plan is also available online at this website:

<http://www.braampanel.org>

**Population and Sample** The population for this survey is all foster parents and relative caregivers who cared for a child or children placed in state custody in Washington State during the 2009 fiscal year. The sampling frame for this survey is the list of all foster parents and relative caregivers registered by the State of Washington who provided care to at least one child/youth during the 2009 fiscal year.

<b>Survey Population of Active Caregivers by Region (as of March 2009)</b>				
<b>Region</b>	<b>Foster Parents</b>		<b>Relative Caregiver</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<b>CA</b>	<b>Private</b>		
1	461	74	460	995
2	250	124	251	625
3	322	150	552	1024
4	238	220	505	963
5	203	355	492	1050
6	528	110	337	975
<b>Total</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>1033</b>	<b>2597</b>	<b>5632</b>

The sample plan was designed to represent all six DSHS regions in proportion to their representation in all of Washington State. The sample includes only active caregivers, including those licensed through private agencies and those licensed through the state. The sample also includes those who are unlicensed relative caregivers and registered with the state.

Foster parents who were inactive during the 2009 fiscal year were not included in the survey, even though they had been included in prior surveys. Our previous experience attempting to interview inactive foster parents was not very successful since most inactive foster parents could not be located or did not want to participate in the survey.

**Completed Interviews by Type and Region**

<i>Interviews Conducted January to June 2009</i>				
Region	Foster Parents		Relative	Total
	CA	Private	Caregiver	
1	72	12	42	126
2	49	13	17	79
3	42	19	37	98
4	28	26	27	81
5	35	37	29	101
6	93	15	28	136
<b>Total</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>621</b>

<i>Interviews Conducted July to September 2009</i>				
Region	Foster Parents		Relative	Total
	CA	Private	Caregiver	
1	45	9	12	66
2	29	8	9	46
3	35	7	13	55
4	18	20	6	44
5	20	24	6	50
6	56	9	12	77
<b>Total</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>338</b>

<i>Interviews Conducted October to December 2009</i>				
Region	Foster Parents		Relative	Total
	CA	Private	Caregiver	
1	33	7	13	53
2	26	14	5	45
3	27	15	22	64
4	13	15	13	41
5	16	34	10	60
6	61	12	12	85
<b>Total</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>348</b>

<i>Combined FY09 Survey Completed Interviews</i>					
Region	Foster Parents		Relative	Total	Max SE*
	CA	Private	Caregiver		
1	150	28	67	245	5.6%
2	104	35	31	170	6.6%
3	104	41	72	217	6.0%
4	59	61	46	166	7.1%
5	71	95	45	211	6.2%
6	210	36	52	298	4.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>698</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>1307</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Max SE*</b>	3.1%	4.9%	5.3%	2.4%	

**\*SE = Sample Error at 95% Confidence Level**

## Survey Implementation and Procedures

**Survey Procedures** Several procedures were implemented that helped to build a rapport with respondents and to ensure that respondents felt comfortable with the survey. Information about the survey was placed on the BRAAM website and the DSHS website just as the survey data collection period was getting underway. The SESRC telephone interviewers were provided with a "Frequently Asked Questions" reference sheet to help answer questions that foster parents may have about the survey. An advance letter was sent to all foster parents and relative caregivers in the sample approximately one week before the beginning of telephone interviews. The purpose of the advance letter was to let foster parents know that a SESRC interviewer would be calling and to inform them about the topic of the survey. A toll-free 800-telephone number that foster parents could call in to ask questions about the survey was maintained during the calling period.

A particular concern for this survey was to put in place safeguards to guarantee confidentiality of survey responses and anonymity in survey results. To ensure that survey respondents cannot be identified from their survey responses the SESRC follows federal guidelines for protection of human subjects and maintains the survey dataset in a secure location at SESRC. The survey dataset is not being released unless there is prior written approval from the Braam Oversight Panel.

**Telephone Interviews.** Interviews for the fiscal year 2009 survey were conducted in three waves. First, interviews of caregivers who provided care between July and December 2008 were conducted between June 12, 2009 and August 13, 2009. Second, interviews of caregivers who provided care between January and June 2009 were conducted between September 23, 2009 and October 25, 2009. A third wave of interviews was conducted between November 2, 2009 and December 8, 2009. All call attempts were made at different times of the day and on different days of the week in order to maximize the likelihood of reaching respondents. At least three evening call attempts were made and two daytime call attempts. At least one call attempt was made on the weekend. If an interviewer called at an inconvenient time for the respondent, the interviewer attempted to schedule a specific time to re-contact the household for an interview. If an answering machine was encountered, interviewers were instructed to leave a scripted answering machine message. If a message was left, the case was held for three days and then called on again. Only one AM message was left per case. The average length for a completed interview was 20 minutes. Interviewers made an average of fifteen call attempts per hour during the calling period.

**Response Rates** For the interviews conducted between January and June of 2009, the final cooperation rate achieved was 90% and the response rate achieved was 59%. The cooperation rate indicates the percent of people who are contacted for an interview that agree to participate in the survey. The response rate indicates the percent of people in the total sample, minus the ineligible respondents, that participate in the survey. The calculation of both measures is based on the suggested definitions and procedures defined by the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR).

For the interviews conducted between July and September of 2009, the cooperation rate achieved was 90% and the response rate achieved was 61%. For the interviews conducted between October and December 2009, the cooperation rate achieved was 88% and the response rate achieved was 59%.

That current phone numbers were not available for foster parents and caregivers is not an indication that DSHS is unable to contact children and youth in out-of-home care. Explanations for the non-working and missing phone numbers include that the caregivers may have discontinued providing care and their phone numbers had changed, phone numbers may have changed between the time the sample was pulled and the caregiver was called, or the numbers could have been misdialed or entered incorrectly into the CAMIS database. SESRC returned nonworking numbers to Children's Administration several times during each survey so that numbers could be checked and corrected.

The cooperation rate and the response rate achieved in this study are higher than last year's rates and are higher than what is generally achieved in public opinion surveys. These high rates reflect what our telephone interviewers experienced in conducting the telephone interviews – that foster parents and relative caregivers were highly interested in the survey and in offering their views on the issues addressed in the survey. The high cooperation and response rate are also due to the efforts of the SESRC data collection supervisors and interviewers in contacting respondents at various times throughout the day and on various days throughout the week during the survey period to ensure that people who were not at home would still have an opportunity to participate in the survey. This high response rate ensures that the survey results are truly representative of the population of all foster parents and relative caregivers in Washington State.

**Sample Error** Sample error is a measure of the degree to which a randomly selected sample of respondents represents the population from which it is drawn, at a given level of confidence (usually 95%). The survey sample was designed to ensure that the maximum sample error for licensed caregivers and for unlicensed caregivers was no larger than plus or minus 5%, and for regional results was no larger than plus or minus 10% at a 95% confidence level. The survey achieved and exceeded these objectives. The maximum sample error for the entire survey is no larger than plus or minus 2.4%. This means that we can have 95% confidence that sample results do not deviate from the population of all caregivers in the state by more than 2.4% for questions with two response categories and 1,307 respondents. Sample errors vary for each question, with the result dependent on the number of response categories, the population size, and the number of respondents answering the question.

### **Additional Information about the Survey**

- Caregivers who did not care for a child in foster care/kinship care between July and December 2008 or between January and June of 2009 were excluded from the survey.
- Foster parents with multiple children in the home were asked to answer survey questions about only one child. The child selected was the one who had spent longer than 60 days in the home during the last half of 2008 or the first half of 2009. If there was more than one such child in the household, then parents were allowed to select which child to answer questions about.
- Caregivers who cared only for children who were adopted or in a guardianship (not children remaining in foster/kinship care) during the last half of 2008 or first half of 2009 were excluded from the survey.
- Only caregivers whose children had spent longer than 60 days in the home between July and December of 2008 or January through June of 2009 were eligible to participate in the survey.
- The survey included explicit definitions for certain terms used in the interviews (e.g., "medically fragile," "physically assaultive or physically aggressive youth" and "sexually aggressive youth").
- Interviewers were provided with responses to frequently asked questions (FAQs) about the survey to ensure that all respondents would get the same information about the survey.
- Children's Administration policy as of September 1, 2008 requires monthly visits for all children in out-of-home care. These visits include individual and private time with the child to discuss the child's situation. For children who are non-verbal, this individual and private part of the monthly visit is not expected.

## Outcome Measurement

**Introduction** A number of specific questions in the foster parent survey are being used by the Braam Oversight Panel to monitor Children's Administration's progress toward the following nine outcomes specified in the Braam Implementation Plan:

*Outcomes related to Foster Parent Training and Information (note: pursuant to the Braam Implementation Plan, these measures apply to licensed caregivers only):*

- C.1.1 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate training for their roles and responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).
- C.1.2 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).
- C.1.3 Percentage of caregivers reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).

*Outcomes related to Unsafe and Inappropriate Placements (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):*

- D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.
- D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.
- D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive (PAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.
- D.1.6 Percentage of children receiving a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.

*Outcomes related to Mental Health (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):*

- B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.

*Outcomes related to Sibling Separation (applies to both licensed and unlicensed caregivers):*

- E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.

This report describes which survey questions are included in each Braam outcome, and how the survey responses enter into the calculation of each outcome. The outcome measurement and calculation is done at the request of the Braam Panel and after discussion with all stakeholders in the Braam Settlement Agreement.

There are four guiding principles in the development of these outcome measures:

- (1) that the measurement is done accurately;
- (2) that it is fair to all stakeholders;
- (3) that it be reliable;
- (4) that the calculation be easily understood and explainable to others.

**Methodology**      The procedure for obtaining an outcome measurement has five basic steps:

- (1) Identify the relevant survey questions for each outcome.
- (2) Code all responses to each question to be included in a outcome, as being:
  - In compliance
  - Out of compliance
  - Not applicable
- (3) Obtain the frequency of each code for every question in the outcome, and calculate averages for those questions to be combined.
- (4) Calculate the outcome for each question according to the following formula:

$$\frac{\# \text{ In compliance}}{\# \text{ In compliance} + \# \text{ Out of compliance}}$$

- (5) Calculate the outcome for subgroups and regions:
  - Active foster parents
  - Inactive foster parents
  - Relative caregivers
  - Six regions

**Decision Rules**      The following pages summarize the outcomes results, and also describe the calculation of each of the nine Braam outcomes and the questions included in the measurement of each outcome.

### Notes to the Calculations

- Survey questions asked about experiences in either the last half of 2008 (July through December) or the first half of 2009 (January through June), and all outcomes are based on survey results for fiscal year 2009.
- Results are presented separately for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, since some outcomes only apply to licensed caregivers, and others apply to all caregivers.
- While the total number of survey respondents is 1,307 some questions did not apply to some foster parents and relative caregivers. Thus, the total number of responses to any specific question will be less than 1,307 and in some cases much less.
- The data tables for the outcome measures in this report only show the number of survey respondents that are "in" compliance with the outcome and those that are "out" of compliance with the outcome. The number of respondents for whom the outcome did not apply, or that missed the question is not shown because this can be determined by subtracting the total in each data table from the total number of survey respondents (1,307).
- Most outcomes have screening questions to make sure that they are applied only to the relevant caregivers. These screening questions and the survey data are described in the calculation of each outcome measure.
- For outcome C.1.2 there is one question (Q78) that was not factored into the outcome for the year 2006, because the related policy was not yet in place. In subsequent years, including the current survey, this question is included in calculating the outcome.
- Outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. Thus, for **these three outcomes** the % in compliance in the overall summary results (page 10) and the results for all caregivers by region (page 12) is limited to only **licensed** caregivers.
- The calculation of the outcome for C.1.3 is limited to caregivers with placements in fiscal year 2009. The Braam Panel has agreed that only cases for which placement with the current caregiver occurred during fiscal year 2009 (or applicable period) will be factored into this outcome.

### Trends for all Nine Braam Survey Outcomes

The table below displays the percent of caregivers meeting each of the Braam outcome criteria for surveys conducted in years 2007 through 2009, and for the FY09 report.\*

			2007	2008	2009	FY09*
Type	Outcome		%	%	%	%
<b>Licensed</b>	<b>C.1.1</b>	<b>Adequate Training</b>	88.6%	86.4%	85.9%	85.9%
	<b>C.1.2</b>	<b>Adequate Support</b>	76.3%	75.6%	71.5%	71.9%
	<b>C.1.3</b>	<b>Adequate Information</b>	72.8%	72.4%	72.3%	75.4%
	<b>D.1.5</b>	<b>Medically Fragile Children</b>	77.9%	77.9%	88.4%	83.2%
	<b>D.1.3</b>	<b>Sexually Aggressive Youth</b>	50.8%	57.5%	72.7%	74.6%
	<b>D.1.4</b>	<b>Physically Assaultive Youth</b>	50.8%	51.2%	53.4%	55.8%
	<b>D.1.6</b>	<b>Monthly Caseworker Visits</b>	38.1%	40.7%	66.4%	71.0%
	<b>B.4.1</b>	<b>Behavioral Health Services</b>	74.4%	86.6%	94.8%	95.2%
	<b>E.2.1</b>	<b>Sibling Visits &amp; Contacts</b>	45.4%	51.0%	51.2%	49.8%
<b>**Unlicensed</b>	<b>C.1.1</b>	<b>Adequate Training</b>	80.4%	71.2%	86.0%	87.0%
	<b>C.1.2</b>	<b>Adequate Support</b>	72.3%	74.7%	71.9%	68.6%
	<b>C.1.3</b>	<b>Adequate Information</b>	74.9%	70.4%	74.6%	75.7%
	<b>D.1.5</b>	<b>Medically Fragile Children</b>	68.8%	69.6%	81.0%	85.7%
	<b>D.1.3</b>	<b>Sexually Aggressive Youth</b>	12.3%	38.5%	28.6%	20.0%
	<b>D.1.4</b>	<b>Physically Assaultive Youth</b>	12.3%	14.8%	20.0%	25.0%
	<b>D.1.6</b>	<b>Monthly Caseworker Visits</b>	37.6%	47.6%	75.4%	73.4%
	<b>B.4.1</b>	<b>Behavioral Health Services</b>	78.0%	93.5%	95.7%	96.2%
	<b>E.2.1</b>	<b>Sibling Visits &amp; Contacts</b>	54.8%	55.2%	61.6%	63.9%
<b>Combined Total</b>	<b>C.1.1</b>	<b>Adequate Training</b>	86.7%	82.3%	85.9%	86.1%
	<b>C.1.2</b>	<b>Adequate Support</b>	74.9%	75.3%	71.6%	71.1%
	<b>C.1.3</b>	<b>Adequate Information</b>	73.5%	71.7%	73.0%	75.4%
	<b>D.1.5</b>	<b>Medically Fragile Children</b>	74.9%	75.1%	87.6%	83.5%
	<b>D.1.3</b>	<b>Sexually Aggressive Youth</b>	44.7%	55.0%	68.5%	70.3%
	<b>D.1.4</b>	<b>Physically Assaultive Youth</b>	44.7%	44.7%	50.0%	52.9%
	<b>D.1.6</b>	<b>Monthly Caseworker Visits</b>	37.9%	43.2%	68.9%	71.6%
	<b>B.4.1</b>	<b>Behavioral Health Services</b>	75.4%	88.8%	95.0%	95.4%
	<b>E.2.1</b>	<b>Sibling Visits &amp; Contacts</b>	48.4%	52.4%	53.7%	52.8%

\*Note that because of the transition from a calendar year report to a fiscal year report, the FY09 column includes half of the interviews reported in the 2009 column.

\*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.

## FY09 Overall Summary Results

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion
<b>C.1.1</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).	85.9%
<b>C.1.2</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).	71.9%
<b>C.1.3</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).	75.4%
<b>D.1.5</b> Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.	83.5%
<b>D.1.3</b> Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	70.3%
<b>D.1.4</b> Children identified as physically assaultive (PAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	52.9%
<b>D.1.6</b> Percentage of children receiving a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.	71.6%
<b>B.4.1</b> Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.	95.4%
<b>E.2.1</b> Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.	52.8%

**FY09  
Results for Licensed Caregivers  
And Unlicensed Caregivers**

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion	
	Licensed Caregivers	Unlicensed Caregivers
<b>C.1.1</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).	85.9%	87.0%
<b>C.1.2</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).	71.9%	68.6%
<b>C.1.3</b> Percentage of <u>licensed caregivers</u> reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental and educational needs).	75.4%	75.7%
<b>D.1.5</b> Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.	83.2%	85.7%
<b>D.1.3</b> Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	74.6%	20.0%
<b>D.1.4</b> Children identified as physically assaultive (PAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.	55.8%	25.0%
<b>D.1.6</b> Percentage of children receiving a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.	71.0%	73.4%
<b>B.4.1</b> Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.	95.2%	96.2%
<b>E.2.1</b> Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.	49.8%	63.9%

*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

**FY09**  
**Results for All Caregivers by Region**

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>C.1.1</b> Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers*	85.0%	85.8%	86.7%	87.1%	87.0%	85.6%
<b>C.1.2</b> Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers*	71.3%	71.3%	67.9%	68.2%	72.6%	73.6%
<b>C.1.3</b> Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers*	78.4%	68.7%	79.5%	77.0%	74.2%	74.6%
<b>D.1.5</b> Medically Fragile Care	87.0%	92.3%	84.6%	92.3%	83.3%	72.4%
<b>D.1.3</b> Sexually Aggressive Youth	75.0%	83.3%	85.7%	100.0%	42.9%	70.0%
<b>D.1.4</b> Physically Assaultive Youth	43.8%	46.7%	52.4%	66.7%	70.8%	50.0%
<b>D.1.6</b> Monthly Caseworker Visits	68.9%	64.5%	74.5%	71.4%	74.8%	73.4%
<b>B.4.1</b> Behavioral Health Services	92.6%	97.4%	97.5%	94.3%	95.1%	96.3%
<b>E.2.1</b> Sibling Visits and Contact	57.0%	60.6%	51.4%	43.4%	50.0%	54.2%

*\*Note that this table combines results for licensed as well as unlicensed caregivers.*

*\*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

**FY09**  
**Results for Licensed Caregivers by Region**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>% Meeting Criterion By Region</b>					
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C.1.1</b> Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers	85.8%	84.4%	85.5%	87.0%	88.4%	84.9%
<b>C.1.2</b> Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers	73.3%	71.3%	67.6%	70.7%	74.6%	72.3%
<b>C.1.3</b> Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers	77.0%	67.8%	80.7%	77.8%	76.3%	73.9%
<b>D.1.5</b> Medically Fragile Care	88.6%	91.7%	83.3%	95.0%	82.4%	70.6%
<b>D.1.3</b> Sexually Aggressive Youth	85.7%	81.8%	85.7%	100.0%	54.5%	70.0%
<b>D.1.4</b> Physically Assaultive Youth	51.9%	46.4%	52.6%	66.7%	81.0%	50.0%
<b>D.1.6</b> Monthly Caseworker Visits	68.6%	65.2%	72.5%	70.1%	76.4%	71.9%
<b>B.4.1</b> Behavioral Health Services	92.6%	96.9%	97.0%	92.3%	97.2%	95.7%
<b>E.2.1</b> Sibling Visits and Contact	54.5%	57.6%	43.8%	40.0%	47.5%	52.7%

**FY09  
Results for Unlicensed Caregivers by Region**

Outcome	% Meeting Criterion By Region					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>C.1.1</b> Adequate Training of Licensed Caregivers	81.1%	95.0%	90.5%	88.0%	78.6%	90.6%
<b>C.1.2</b> Adequate Support of Licensed Caregivers	65.9%	71.1%	68.6%	61.0%	65.1%	79.7%
<b>C.1.3</b> Adequate Information for Licensed Caregivers	82.6%	71.8%	76.7%	73.8%	65.9%	79.7%
<b>D.1.5</b> Medically Fragile Care	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	100%	85.7%
<b>D.1.3</b> Sexually Aggressive Youth	0%	100.0%	-*	-*	0%	-*
<b>D.1.4</b> Physically Assaultive Youth	0%	50.0%	50.0%	-*	0%	50.0%
<b>D.1.6</b> Monthly Caseworker Visits	69.8%	61.3%	78.6%	75.0%	68.9%	80.4%
<b>B.4.1</b> Behavioral Health Services	92.9%	100%	100%	100%	80.0%	100.0%
<b>E.2.1</b> Sibling Visits and Contact	63.4%	78.6%	67.4%	53.6%	63.6%	62.5%

*\*There were no unlicensed caregivers in these regions who reported caring for a "medically fragile child" or a "sexually aggressive youth."*

*\*\*Note that outcomes C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.1.3 apply only to licensed caregivers. The results for these outcomes for unlicensed caregivers is collected and presented only for informational purposes.*

**II. CALCULATION OF OUTCOMES**

**C.1.1 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate training for their role responsibilities (including but not limited to emotional, behavioral, developmental, medical, educational advocacy, birth parents and cultural competency).**

This outcome is measured by one question (Q27). This question asks foster parents to think about **all** of their training in the last three years and comment on its adequacy. Although Q27 asks foster parents to consider only their training within the past three years, the outcome does not include this time limitation. According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to all licensed caregivers including foster parents and relative caregivers. For the purposes of calculating this outcome, responses of “already prepared” and “have not had training” are counted as not applicable.

**C.1.1 Outcome Results (Licensed caregivers only)**

Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? \* BQ27 Outcome Crosstabulation

Count		BQ27 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q27	VERY ADEQUATELY	395	0	395
	SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY	430	0	430
	SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY	0	85	85
	VERY INADEQUATELY	0	50	50
Total		825	135	960

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	145	24	169	85.8%
	<b>2</b>	114	21	135	84.4%
	<b>3</b>	118	20	138	85.5%
	<b>4</b>	100	15	115	87.0%
	<b>5</b>	145	19	164	88.4%
	<b>6</b>	203	36	239	84.9%
<b>Total</b>		<b>825</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>960</b>	<b>85.9%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	30	7	37	81.1%
	<b>2</b>	19	1	20	95.0%
	<b>3</b>	38	4	42	90.5%
	<b>4</b>	22	3	25	88.0%
	<b>5</b>	22	6	28	78.6%
	<b>6</b>	29	3	32	90.6%
<b>Total</b>		<b>160</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>87.0%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>985</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>1144</b>	<b>86.1%</b>

**C.1.2 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate support for their roles and responsibilities, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to crisis support, timely notification about case planning meetings, and cultural competency resources).**

This outcome measure combines a total of seven questions: Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, and Q78, but some questions are averaged so that equal weight is given to each of the four subsections of this outcome: support, cultural competency support, quality of help and support from the agency and social worker, and timely notification about case planning meetings. According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to licensed relative and non-relative caregivers.

\*Note: The results for Q78 were not factored into the outcome in the results for the 2007 survey, because the related policy had not been put in place in 2006. Q78, is however included in the outcome calculations for surveys conducted after 2007.

**C.1.2 Outcome Results (Licensed caregivers only)**

**Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2009, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? \* BQ89 Outcome**

**Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ89 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q89	YES, RIGHT AWAY	180	0	180
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	97	0	97
	NO	0	72	72
Total		277	72	349

**Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2009? \* BQ93 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ93 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q93	VERY SUPPORTIVE	400	0	400
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	281	0	281
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	0	62	62
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	0	64	64
Total		681	126	807

**Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2009? \* BQ94 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ94 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q94	VERY SATISFIED	430	0	430
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	254	0	254
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	126	126
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	168	168
Total		684	294	978

**Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2009? \* BQ96 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ96 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q96	VERY SATISFIED	365	0	365
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	346	0	346
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	0	132	132
	VERY DISSATISFIED	0	132	132
Total		711	264	975

**Q57 In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings \* BQ57 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ57 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q57	ALWAYS	375	0	375
	MOST OF THE TIME	190	0	190
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	100	100
	RARELY	0	127	127
	NEVER	0	107	107
Total		565	334	899

**Q58 In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? \* BQ58 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ58 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q58	ALWAYS	385	0	385
	MOST OF THE TIME	107	0	107
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	71	71
	RARELY	0	107	107
	NEVER	0	226	226
Total		492	404	896

**Q78 During the first half of 2009 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings; \* BQ78 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ78 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q78	ALWAYS	337	0	337
	MOST OF THE TIME	235	0	235
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	0	99	99
	RARELY	0	146	146
	NEVER	0	147	147
Total		572	392	964

Question #	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total
Q89	277	72	349
Q93	681	126	807
Q94	684	294	978
Q96	711	264	975
Q57	565	334	899
Q58	492	404	896
Q78	572	392	964
Avg 57,58,78	543	377	920
<b>Totals</b>	<b>2896</b>	<b>1133</b>	<b>4029</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	531	193	724	73.3%
	<b>2</b>	404	163	567	71.3%
	<b>3</b>	392	188	580	67.6%
	<b>4</b>	334	138	472	70.7%
	<b>5</b>	502	171	673	74.6%
	<b>6</b>	733	280	1013	72.3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>2896</b>	<b>1133</b>	<b>4029</b>	<b>71.9%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	177	91	268	65.9%
	<b>2</b>	85	34	119	71.1%
	<b>3</b>	183	84	267	68.6%
	<b>4</b>	102	65	168	61.0%
	<b>5</b>	119	64	183	65.1%
	<b>6</b>	166	42	209	79.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>833</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>1214</b>	<b>68.6%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>3729</b>	<b>1514</b>	<b>5243</b>	<b>71.1%</b>

**C.1.3 Percentage of licensed caregivers reporting adequate provision of information about the needs of children placed with them, by region and for the state as a whole (including but not limited to behavioral, medical, developmental, and educational needs).**

This outcome measure combines a total of eight questions: Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, and 63B and all questions are given equal weight. Questions refer to information provided to the caregiver at the time of placement. For some foster parents this will have been earlier than the year we are assessing. *Thus, the Panel has agreed that only cases for which placement with the current caregiver occurred during FY09 will be factored into the outcome.* According to the Implementation Plan, this outcome applies to licensed caregivers only.

**This outcome is restricted to only those foster parents whose children were placed with them in FY09.** Questions related to children’s developmental needs (Q63A& 63B) were added for the survey covering 2007 and subsequent years based on revisions to the Implementation Plan.

**C.1.3 Outcome Results (Licensed caregivers only)**

**Q42M In what month was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) \* Q42Y In what year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) Crosstabulation**

Count

		Q42Y In what year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR)		Total
		2008	2009	
Q42M In what month was this child placed in your home? (MONTH)	January	0	39	39
	February	0	40	40
	March	0	37	37
	April	0	8	8
	May	0	3	3
	June	0	1	1
	July	34	0	34
	August	60	0	60
	September	44	0	44
	October	47	0	47
	November	39	0	39
	December	31	0	31
Total		255	128	383

**Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2009? \* BQ59 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ59 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q59	Strongly agree	233	0	233
	Somewhat agree	79	0	79
	Somewhat disagree	0	26	26
	Strongly disagree	0	42	42
Total		312	68	380

**Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2009? \* BQ61 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ61 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q61	Strongly agree	95	0	95
	Somewhat agree	48	0	48
	Somewhat disagree	0	15	15
	Strongly disagree	0	29	29
Total		143	44	187

**Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2009? \* BQ64 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ64 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q64	Strongly agree	193	0	193
	Somewhat agree	88	0	88
	Somewhat disagree	0	33	33
	Strongly disagree	0	59	59
Total		281	92	373

**Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2009, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* BQ60 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ60 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q60	Yes	244	0	244
	No	0	71	71
Total		244	71	315

**Q62 If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* BQ62 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ62 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q62	Yes	106	0	106
	No	0	49	49
Total		106	49	155

**Q65 If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home? \* BQ65 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ65 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q65	Yes	218	0	218
	No	0	81	81
Total		218	81	299

**Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2009? \* BQ63A Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ63A Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q63A	Strongly agree	86	0	86
	Somewhat agree	28	0	28
	Somewhat disagree	0	18	18
	Strongly disagree	0	28	28
Total		114	46	160

**Q63B If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* BQ63B Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ63B Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q63B	Yes	91	0	91
	No	0	42	42
Total		91	42	133

Question #	A In Compliance	B Out of compliance	C Total
Q59	312	68	380
Q61	143	44	187
Q64	281	92	373
Q60	244	71	315
Q62	106	49	155
Q65	218	81	299
Q63A	114	46	160
Q63B	91	42	133
<b>Totals</b>	<b>1509</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>2002</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	281	84	365	77.0%
	<b>2</b>	185	88	273	67.8%
	<b>3</b>	217	52	269	80.7%
	<b>4</b>	172	49	221	77.8%
	<b>5</b>	257	80	337	76.3%
	<b>6</b>	397	140	537	73.9%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1509</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>75.4%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	100	21	121	82.6%
	<b>2</b>	61	24	85	71.8%
	<b>3</b>	89	27	116	76.7%
	<b>4</b>	45	16	61	73.8%
	<b>5</b>	54	28	82	65.9%
	<b>6</b>	59	15	74	79.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>408</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>539</b>	<b>75.7%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>1917</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>2541</b>	<b>75.4%</b>

**D.1.5 Percentage of medically fragile children connected to appropriate and ongoing medical care and placed with caregivers who receive consultation and training regarding their caretaking responsibilities for the medical condition.**

This outcome has two screen questions (Q33 and Q38). Only foster parents who answer “yes” that they were caring for a child identified by the agency as “medically fragile” (Q33) are included in the calculation for this outcome measure. Q38 is a second screen question. Foster parents who answer “no” or “not sure” that they needed medical care for this child in 2008, are considered “in compliance” if Q35 is “yes.” Foster parents who answer “yes” to Q38, must also answer “yes” to Q39 to be considered in compliance.

A definition of “medically fragile children” was added to the survey in 2009, along with a third screen question (Q33A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

**D.1.5 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q33 In the first half of 2009 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile'**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	222	17.0	17.2	17.2
	No	1066	81.6	82.8	100.0
	Total	1288	98.5	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	6	.5		
	System	13	1.0		
	Total	19	1.5		
Total		1307	100.0		

***Medically Fragile Children are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.***

**Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	111	8.5	51.9	51.9
	No	103	7.9	48.1	100.0
	Total	214	16.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	8	.6		
	System	1085	83.0		
	Total	1093	83.6		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	80	6.1	72.7	72.7
	No	30	2.3	27.3	100.0
	Total	110	8.4	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	1	.1		
	System	1196	91.5		
	Total	1197	91.6		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* Q38 In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? Crosstabulation**

Count

		Q38 In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?		Total
		Yes	No	
Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	80	0	80
	No	27	2	29
Total		107	2	109

**Q39 In 2009 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* Q38 In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? Crosstabulation**

Count

		Q38 In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	
		Yes	Total
Q39 In 2009 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	102	102
	No	6	6
Total		108	108

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* BQ35 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ35 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q35	Yes	80	0	80
	No	0	30	30
Total		80	30	110

**Q39 In 2009 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* BQ39 Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ39 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q39	Yes	102	0	102
	No	0	6	6
Total		102	6	108

<b>Question #</b>	<b>A In Compliance</b>	<b>B Out of compliance</b>	<b>C Total</b>
Q35	80	30	110
Q39	102	6	108
<b>Totals</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>218</b>

<b>Type</b>	<b>Region</b>	<b>In</b>	<b>Out</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>% Compliance</b>
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	39	5	44	88.6%
	<b>2</b>	22	2	24	91.7%
	<b>3</b>	20	4	24	83.3%
	<b>4</b>	19	1	20	95.0%
	<b>5</b>	28	6	34	82.4%
	<b>6</b>	36	15	51	70.6%
<b>Total</b>		<b>164</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>83.2%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	1	1	2	50.0%
	<b>2</b>	2	0	2	100.0%
	<b>3</b>	2	0	2	100.0%
	<b>4</b>	5	1	6	83.3%
	<b>5</b>	2	0	2	100.0%
	<b>6</b>	6	1	7	85.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>85.7%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>182</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>83.5%</b>

**D.1.3 Children identified as sexually aggressive (SAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.**

This outcome, as well as the related foster parent survey questions and decision rules, have been significantly revised from the 2007 survey. In the 2007 survey, SAY and PAY were combined into a single outcome. Beginning with the 2008 survey, separate outcomes were measured for SAY and PAY. A definition of "sexually aggressive youth" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a second screen question (Q115A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

For SAY, Q115 is a screen question. Only foster parents who answer "yes" that a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive was placed into their home in FY09 are included in the calculation of this outcome. Answers to both Q114 and Q118 must be YES to be considered in compliance

**D.1.3 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	103	7.9	8.0	8.0
	No	1177	90.1	92.0	100.0
	Total	1280	97.9	100.0	
Missing	Refused	3	.2		
	Don't know	10	.8		
	System	14	1.1		
	Total	27	2.1		
Total		1307	100.0		

***Sexually Aggressive Youth means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.***

**Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	64	4.9	64.6	64.6
	No	35	2.7	35.4	100.0
	Total	99	7.6	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	4	.3		
	System	1204	92.1		
	Total	1208	92.4		
Total		1307	100.0		

**QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? \* QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? Crosstabulation**

Count

		QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?		Total
		Yes	No	
QR114	Yes	45	3	48
	No	5	0	5
Total		50	3	53

**Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* BQSAY Outcome**

**Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQSAY Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q115A	Yes	45	19	64
	No	0	11	11
Total		45	30	75

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	6	1	7	85.7%
	<b>2</b>	9	2	11	81.8%
	<b>3</b>	6	1	7	85.7%
	<b>4</b>	3	0	3	100.0%
	<b>5</b>	6	5	11	54.5%
	<b>6</b>	14	6	20	70.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>44</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>74.6%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	0	1	1	0.0%
	<b>2</b>	1	0	1	100.0%
	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	<b>4</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	<b>5</b>	0	3	3	0.0%
	<b>6</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>45</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>70.3%</b>

**D.1.3**

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	6	2	8	75.0%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	10	2	12	83.3%
	<b>3</b>	6	1	7	85.7%
	<b>4</b>	3	0	3	100.0%
	<b>5</b>	6	8	14	42.9%
	<b>6</b>	14	6	20	70.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>70.3%</b>

**D.1.4 Children identified as physically assaultive (PAY) pursuant to the statutory definition will be placed with caregivers who have received specialized training and have a plan developed to address safety and supervision issues.**

This outcome, as well as the related foster parent survey questions and decision rules, have been significantly revised for the survey covering 2007. In the 2007 survey, SAY and PAY were combined into a single outcome. Beginning with the 2008 survey, separate outcomes were measured for SAY and PAY. A definition of "physically assaultive youth" was added to the survey in 2009, along with a second screen question (Q110A). This ensures that only caregivers who cared for children meeting this definition would be included in the outcome calculation.

For PAY, Q110 is a screen question. Only foster parents who answer "yes" that a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive was placed into their home in FY09 are included in the calculation of this outcome. Answers to both Q109 and Q113 must be YES to be considered in compliance

**D.1.4 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	254	19.4	19.8	19.8
	No	1028	78.7	80.2	100.0
	Total	1282	98.1	100.0	
Missing	Refused	1	.1		
	Don't know	10	.8		
	System	14	1.1		
	Total	25	1.9		
Total		1307	100.0		

***Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.***

**Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	170	13.0	68.5	68.5
	No	78	6.0	31.5	100.0
	Total	248	19.0	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	6	.5		
	System	1053	80.6		
	Total	1059	81.0		
Total		1307	100.0		

**QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? \* QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? Crosstabulation**

Count

		QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?		Total
		Yes	No	
QR109	Yes	90	4	94
	No	12	0	12
Total		102	4	106

**Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* BQPAY Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQPAY Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q110A	Yes	90	80	170
	No	0	43	43
Total		90	123	213

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	14	13	27	51.9%
	<b>2</b>	13	15	28	46.4%
	<b>3</b>	10	9	19	52.6%
	<b>4</b>	10	5	15	66.7%
	<b>5</b>	17	4	21	81.0%
	<b>6</b>	22	22	44	50.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>86</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>55.8%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	0	5	5	0.0%
	<b>2</b>	1	1	2	50.0%
	<b>3</b>	1	1	2	50.0%
	<b>4</b>	0	0	0	#DIV/0!
	<b>5</b>	0	3	3	0.0%
	<b>6</b>	2	2	4	50.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>90</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>52.9%</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	14	18	32	43.8%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	14	16	30	46.7%
	<b>3</b>	11	10	21	52.4%
	<b>4</b>	10	5	15	66.7%
	<b>5</b>	17	7	24	70.8%
	<b>6</b>	24	24	48	50.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>52.9%</b>

**D.1.6 Percentage of children receiving a private and individual face-to-face visit from the caseworker for each full placement month.**

This outcome only has one question (Q53).

**D.1.6 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q53 In the first half of 2009, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? \* BQ53 Outcome**

**Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ53 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q53	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	145	0	145
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	771	0	771
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	0	126	126
	ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	0	107	107
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	0	52	52
	ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR	0	33	33
	NEVER IN 2009	0	46	46
Total		916	364	1280

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	120	55	175	68.6%
	<b>2</b>	88	47	135	65.2%
	<b>3</b>	103	39	142	72.5%
	<b>4</b>	82	35	117	70.1%
	<b>5</b>	126	39	165	76.4%
	<b>6</b>	174	68	242	71.9%
<b>Total</b>		<b>693</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>71.0%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	44	19	63	69.8%
	<b>2</b>	19	12	31	61.3%
	<b>3</b>	55	15	70	78.6%
	<b>4</b>	33	11	44	75.0%
	<b>5</b>	31	14	45	68.9%
	<b>6</b>	41	10	51	80.4%
<b>Total</b>		<b>223</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>73.4%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>916</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>1280</b>	<b>71.6%</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	164	74	238	68.9%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	107	59	166	64.5%
	<b>3</b>	158	54	212	74.5%
	<b>4</b>	115	46	161	71.4%
	<b>5</b>	157	53	210	74.8%
	<b>6</b>	215	78	293	73.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>916</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>1280</b>	<b>71.6%</b>

**B.4.1 Each child will receive behavioral health services from the same individual provider.**

This outcome has a screen question (Q68). Only foster parents who answer “yes” that during 2008 this child received mental health services are included in the calculation of this outcome.

An additional question (Q72) related to whether the foster parent feels that the change in clinician or treatment team was necessary to improve/maintain quality of care was added to the calculation of this outcome in the 2008 and 2009 surveys.

**B.4.1 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	315	24.1	78.0	78.0
	No	89	6.8	22.0	100.0
	Total	404	30.9	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	2	.2		
	System	901	68.9		
	Total	903	69.1		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q71 During 2009, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	The same clinician/ treatment team	241	18.4	79.3	79.3
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	63	4.8	20.7	100.0
	Total	304	23.3	100.0	
Missing	Refused	2	.2		
	Don't know	8	.6		
	System	993	76.0		
	Total	1003	76.7		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	48	3.7	77.4	77.4
	No	14	1.1	22.6	100.0
	Total	62	4.7	100.0	
Missing	Don't know	1	.1		
	System	1244	95.2		
	Total	1245	95.3		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q71 During 2009, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? \* BQ71 Outcome**

**Crosstabulation**

Count

		BQ71 Outcome		Total
		In	Out	
Q71	The same clinician/ treatment team	241	0	241
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	48	14	62
Total		289	14	303

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	50	4	54	92.6%
	<b>2</b>	31	1	32	96.9%
	<b>3</b>	32	1	33	97.0%
	<b>4</b>	24	2	26	92.3%
	<b>5</b>	35	1	36	97.2%
	<b>6</b>	67	3	70	95.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>239</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>95.2%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	13	1	14	92.9%
	<b>2</b>	7	0	7	100.0%
	<b>3</b>	7	0	7	100.0%
	<b>4</b>	9	0	9	100.0%
	<b>5</b>	4	1	5	80.0%
	<b>6</b>	10	0	10	100.0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>96.2%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>289</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>95.4%</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	63	5	68	92.6%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	38	1	39	97.4%
	<b>3</b>	39	1	40	97.5%
	<b>4</b>	33	2	35	94.3%
	<b>5</b>	39	2	41	95.1%
	<b>6</b>	77	3	80	96.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>95.4%</b>

**E.2.1 Percentage of children placed apart from their siblings who have two or more monthly visits or contacts (not including staffing meetings or court events) with some or all of their siblings.**

This outcome has three screen questions (Q125, Q126 and Q127). Only foster parents who indicate that the child has a sister or brother ("yes" to Q125), who was not placed in the foster parent's home in 2008 ("no" to Q126), and for whom contact was not disallowed by DCFS or the court ("no" to Q127) are included in the calculation of this outcome.

In the 2007 survey this outcome was measured by a single question which asked about visits and contacts with siblings. Beginning with the 2008 survey this question was separated into two questions, one about visits, and a second question about contacts.

Compliance is based on a total of two or more monthly visits or contacts. Responses are considered in compliance if there were two or more visits per month (Q128), two or more other forms of contact per month (Q128B), or through a combination of visits and contacts (combination of Q128 and Q128B).

**E.2.1 Outcome Results (All Caregivers)**

**Q125 Does this child have a sister or brother?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1160	88.8	89.5	89.5
	No	136	10.4	10.5	100.0
	Total	1296	99.2	100.0	
Missing	Refused	2	.2		
	Don't know	7	.5		
	System	2	.2		
	Total	11	.8		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q126 In the first half of 2009, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	173	13.2	15.0	15.0
	No	984	75.3	85.0	100.0
	Total	1157	88.5	100.0	
Missing	Refused	1	.1		
	Don't know	2	.2		
	System	147	11.2		
	Total	150	11.5		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and (his/her) siblings?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	110	8.4	11.3	11.3
	No	861	65.9	88.7	100.0
	Total	971	74.3	100.0	
Missing	Refused	3	.2		
	Don't know	10	.8		
	System	323	24.7		
	Total	336	25.7		
Total		1307	100.0		

**Q128 In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? \* Q128B In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? Crosstabulation**

Count

		Q128B In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events?									Total
		Refused	Don't know	NOT AT ALL	LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	ONCE A MONTH	TWICE A MONTH	THREE TIMES A MONTH	FOUR TIMES A MONTH	MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH	
Q128	Refused	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Don't know	0	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	NOT AT ALL	0	2	244	10	11	3	1	0	5	276
	LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	1	0	38	56	9	6	0	4	5	119
	ONCE A MONTH	0	2	28	9	37	8	4	3	13	104
	TWICE A MONTH	0	1	35	4	9	29	6	3	7	94
	THREE TIMES A MONTH	0	1	10	4	4	5	6	3	5	38
	FOUR TIMES A MONTH	0	1	33	9	4	7	7	24	9	94
	MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH	1	2	21	4	10	7	2	13	74	134
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>874</b>

\*Cells shaded in dark are not included in the calculation.  
 Cells shaded more lightly are considered not in compliance.  
 All other unshaded cells are considered to be in compliance.

**Q128 In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? \* Q128B In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? \* BQ128AB Outcome Crosstabulation**

Count

BQ Outcome			Q128B In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events?								Total	
			Refused	Don't know	NOT AT ALL	LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	ONCE A MONTH	TWICE A MONTH	THREE TIMES A MONTH	FOUR TIMES A MONTH		MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH
In	Q128	NOT AT ALL	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	5	9
		LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	4	5	15
		ONCE A MONTH	0	0	0	0	37	8	4	3	13	65
		TWICE A MONTH	0	1	35	4	9	29	6	3	7	94
		THREE TIMES A MONTH	0	1	10	4	4	5	6	3	5	38
		FOUR TIMES A MONTH	0	1	33	9	4	7	7	24	9	94
		MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH	1	2	21	4	10	7	2	13	74	134
	Total		1	5	99	21	64	65	26	50	118	449
Out	Q128	NOT AT ALL			244	10	11					265
		LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH			38	56	9					103
		ONCE A MONTH			28	9	0					37
	Total			310	75	20					405	

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Licensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	60	50	110	54.5%
	<b>2</b>	49	36	85	57.6%
	<b>3</b>	42	54	96	43.8%
	<b>4</b>	34	51	85	40.0%
	<b>5</b>	56	62	118	47.5%
	<b>6</b>	89	80	169	52.7%
<b>Total</b>		<b>330</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>49.8%</b>
<b>Unlicensed Caregivers</b>	<b>1</b>	26	15	41	63.4%
	<b>2</b>	11	3	14	
	<b>3</b>	31	15	46	67.4%
	<b>4</b>	15	13	28	53.6%
	<b>5</b>	14	8	22	63.6%
	<b>6</b>	20	12	32	62.5%
<b>Total</b>		<b>117</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>63.9%</b>
<b>Overall Combined</b>		<b>447</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>846</b>	<b>52.8%</b>

Type	Region	In	Out	Total	% Compliance
<b>Combined</b>	<b>1</b>	86	65	151	57.0%
<b>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</b>	<b>2</b>	60	39	99	60.6%
	<b>3</b>	73	69	142	51.4%
	<b>4</b>	49	64	113	43.4%
	<b>5</b>	70	70	140	50.0%
	<b>6</b>	109	92	201	54.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>846</b>	<b>52.8%</b>

## **APPENDICES**

### **Survey Results for FY09**

The survey results are presented in the following pages for all 1,307 foster parents and relative caregivers who participated in the FY09 survey and fully or partially completed the survey. The data are crosstabbed to show results for licensed and unlicensed caregivers, and for the all respondents. Percentages reported in the tables that follow sum to 100% for each column in each table. Only non-missing values are shown in the tables; respondents who skipped questions or who did not answer a question are not shown in the tables that follow.

Note that the results presented here display the questions as they were asked in the most recent survey (interviews conducted between July and December 2009). Thus, questions displayed on these pages will use the January to June 2009 reference period, even though this reference period was July to December 2008 for the half of the respondents interviewed between January and June 2009.

**INTERVIEW RESULT CODE \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
INTERVIEW RESULT CODE	Fully Completed	Count	984	309	1293
		% within Type	99.0%	98.7%	98.9%
	Partial Complete	Count	10	4	14
		% within Type	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Survey \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Survey 2009		Count	441	180	621
		% within Type	44.4%	57.5%	47.5%
Q1		Count	280	58	338
		% within Type	28.2%	18.5%	25.9%
Q2		Count	273	75	348
		% within Type	27.5%	24.0%	26.6%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**PLACEMENT TYPE \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
PLACEMENT TYPE	FH	Count	698	0	698
		% within Type	70.2%	.0%	53.4%
	PA	Count	296	0	296
		% within Type	29.8%	.0%	22.6%
	RP	Count	0	313	313
		% within Type	.0%	100.0%	23.9%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**REGION -- SAMPLE \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
REGION	1	Count	178	67	245
		% within Type	17.9%	21.4%	18.7%
	2	Count	139	31	170
		% within Type	14.0%	9.9%	13.0%
	3	Count	145	72	217
		% within Type	14.6%	23.0%	16.6%
	4	Count	120	46	166
		% within Type	12.1%	14.7%	12.7%
	5	Count	166	45	211
		% within Type	16.7%	14.4%	16.1%
	6	Count	246	52	298
		% within Type	24.7%	16.6%	22.8%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q01 First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver.**

**Between January and June 2009 did you care for any children placed by DCFS? \***

**Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q01 First, I have just a	Yes	Count	994	313	1307
few questions about		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
your role as a foster					
parent or caregiver.					
Between January and					
June 2009 did you care					
for any children placed					
by DCFS?					
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between January and June 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q02 How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between January and June 2009?	1	Count	288	179	467
		% within Type	29.1%	57.2%	35.8%
	2	Count	305	87	392
		% within Type	30.8%	27.8%	30.1%
	3	Count	184	36	220
		% within Type	18.6%	11.5%	16.9%
	4	Count	101	5	106
		% within Type	10.2%	1.6%	8.1%
	5	Count	47	3	50
		% within Type	4.7%	1.0%	3.8%
	6	Count	27	1	28
		% within Type	2.7%	.3%	2.1%
	7	Count	13	2	15
		% within Type	1.3%	.6%	1.2%
	8	Count	10	0	10
		% within Type	1.0%	.0%	.8%
	9	Count	5	0	5
		% within Type	.5%	.0%	.4%
	10	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	12	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	15	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	20	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	990	313	1303
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for between January and June 2009 in a guardianship or adopted by you? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q03 (Was this child/Were these children) that you cared for between January and June 2009 in a guardianship or adopted by you?	Some were and some weren't	Count	148	22	170
		% within Type	14.9%	7.1%	13.0%
	No	Count	845	288	1133
		% within Type	85.1%	92.9%	87.0%
Total		Count	993	310	1303
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q04 Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?	Yes	Count	994	312	1306
		% within Type	100.0%	99.7%	99.9%
	No	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	.0%	.3%	.1%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2009 and June 30, 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q05 Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2009 and June 30, 2009?	One	Count	403	196	599
		% within Type	40.7%	62.8%	46.0%
	Two	Count	308	83	391
		% within Type	31.1%	26.6%	30.0%
	Three	Count	154	24	178
		% within Type	15.5%	7.7%	13.7%
	Four	Count	75	4	79
		% within Type	7.6%	1.3%	6.1%
	Five	Count	27	3	30
		% within Type	2.7%	1.0%	2.3%
	Six	Count	15	1	16
		% within Type	1.5%	.3%	1.2%
	Seven	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	.3%	.3%	.3%
	Eight	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	Nine	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
Total	Count	991	312	1303	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q06 Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q06 Were you licensed	DLR	Count	669	17	686
through the Division of		% within Type	69.6%	5.5%	54.1%
Licensed Resources,	Private Agency	Count	278	4	282
known as DLR, or		% within Type	28.9%	1.3%	22.2%
through a Private	Not licensed	Count	14	287	301
Agency, or were you		% within Type	1.5%	93.2%	23.7%
not licensed?					
Total		Count	961	308	1269
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q07N Did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you? \* Type**

<b>Crosstabulation</b>					
			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q07N Did you care for	Yes	Count	564	61	625
any children of a		% within Type	57.1%	19.7%	48.2%
different ethnicity than	No	Count	424	248	672
you?		% within Type	42.9%	80.3%	51.8%
Total		Count	988	309	1297
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q40 Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female? \* Type**

<b>Crosstabulation</b>					
			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q40 Can you please	Male	Count	519	144	663
tell me whether this		% within Type	52.3%	46.3%	50.8%
child is male or	Female	Count	474	167	641
female?		% within Type	47.7%	53.7%	49.2%
Total		Count	993	311	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years) \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q41 How old is this child? (IWR: Please enter age in years)	0	Count	34	4	38
		% within Type	3.4%	1.3%	2.9%
	1	Count	153	39	192
		% within Type	15.4%	12.6%	14.8%
	2	Count	105	46	151
		% within Type	10.6%	14.8%	11.6%
	3	Count	67	33	100
		% within Type	6.8%	10.6%	7.7%
	4	Count	56	28	84
		% within Type	5.7%	9.0%	6.5%
	5	Count	72	21	93
		% within Type	7.3%	6.8%	7.1%
	6	Count	47	14	61
		% within Type	4.7%	4.5%	4.7%
	7	Count	54	20	74
		% within Type	5.4%	6.5%	5.7%
	8	Count	39	17	56
		% within Type	3.9%	5.5%	4.3%
	9	Count	42	12	54
		% within Type	4.2%	3.9%	4.2%
	10	Count	35	13	48
		% within Type	3.5%	4.2%	3.7%
	11	Count	34	12	46
		% within Type	3.4%	3.9%	3.5%
	12	Count	42	6	48
		% within Type	4.2%	1.9%	3.7%
	13	Count	36	10	46
		% within Type	3.6%	3.2%	3.5%
	14	Count	33	9	42
		% within Type	3.3%	2.9%	3.2%
	15	Count	40	6	46
		% within Type	4.0%	1.9%	3.5%

16	Count	40	10	50
	% within Type	4.0%	3.2%	3.8%
17	Count	46	7	53
	% within Type	4.6%	2.3%	4.1%
18	Count	16	3	19
	% within Type	1.6%	1.0%	1.5%
Total	Count	991	310	1301
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH) \* Type**

**Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q42M In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (MONTH)	January	Count	91	23	114
		% within Type	9.3%	7.6%	8.9%
	February	Count	79	32	111
		% within Type	8.1%	10.6%	8.7%
	March	Count	102	30	132
		% within Type	10.5%	10.0%	10.4%
	April	Count	72	19	91
		% within Type	7.4%	6.3%	7.1%
	May	Count	65	23	88
		% within Type	6.7%	7.6%	6.9%
	June	Count	76	35	111
		% within Type	7.8%	11.6%	8.7%
	July	Count	63	25	88
		% within Type	6.5%	8.3%	6.9%
	August	Count	100	24	124
		% within Type	10.3%	8.0%	9.7%
	September	Count	77	23	100
		% within Type	7.9%	7.6%	7.8%
	October	Count	86	27	113
		% within Type	8.8%	9.0%	8.9%
	November	Count	90	24	114
		% within Type	9.2%	8.0%	8.9%

	December	Count	73	16	89
		% within Type	7.5%	5.3%	7.0%
Total		Count	974	301	1275
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR) \* Type**

**Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q42Y In what month and year was this child placed in your home? (YEAR)	1997	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	1998	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	.1%	.3%	.2%
	1999	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	2001	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	.3%	.3%	.3%
	2002	Count	10	1	11
		% within Type	1.0%	.3%	.9%
	2003	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	.7%	.0%	.5%
	2004	Count	27	7	34
		% within Type	2.7%	2.3%	2.6%
	2005	Count	39	8	47
		% within Type	4.0%	2.6%	3.6%
	2006	Count	101	26	127
		% within Type	10.2%	8.5%	9.8%
	2007	Count	209	71	280
		% within Type	21.2%	23.2%	21.7%
	2008	Count	455	173	628
		% within Type	46.1%	56.5%	48.6%
	2009	Count	131	18	149
		% within Type	13.3%	5.9%	11.5%
Total		Count	986	306	1292
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_AmerInd Child's Race/Ethnicity: American Indian/Native American \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q43_AmerInd Child's	Yes	Count	173	51	224
Race/Ethnicity:		% within Type	17.5%	16.3%	17.2%
American	No	Count	815	262	1077
Indian/Native		% within Type	82.5%	83.7%	82.8%
American					
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_Black Child's Race/Ethnicity: Black or African American \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q43_Black Child's	Yes	Count	143	41	184
Race/Ethnicity: Black		% within Type	14.5%	13.1%	14.1%
or African American	No	Count	845	272	1117
		% within Type	85.5%	86.9%	85.9%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_Asian Child's Race/Ethnicity: Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q43_Asian Child's	Yes	Count	41	13	54
Race/Ethnicity: Asian,		% within Type	4.1%	4.2%	4.2%
Hawaiian, or Pacific	No	Count	947	300	1247
Islander		% within Type	95.9%	95.8%	95.8%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_Hispanic Child's Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latin American \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q43_Hispanic Child's	Yes	Count	160	46	206
Race/Ethnicity:		% within Type	16.2%	14.7%	15.8%
Hispanic or Latin	No	Count	828	267	1095
American		% within Type	83.8%	85.3%	84.2%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_White Child's Race/Ethnicity: Caucasian or white \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q43_White Child's	Yes	Count	643	231	874
Race/Ethnicity:		% within Type	65.1%	73.8%	67.2%
Caucasian or white	No	Count	345	82	427
		% within Type	34.9%	26.2%	32.8%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_Eskimo Child's Race/Ethnicity: Eskimo or Aleut \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q43_Eskimo Child's	Yes	Count	2	0	2
Race/Ethnicity:		% within Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
Eskimo or Aleut	No	Count	986	313	1299
		% within Type	99.8%	100.0%	99.8%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_Multiple Child's Race/Ethnicity: Multiple ethnicities \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q43_Multiple Child's	Yes	Count	24	6	30
Race/Ethnicity:		% within Type	2.4%	1.9%	2.3%
Multiple ethnicities	No	Count	964	307	1271
		% within Type	97.6%	98.1%	97.7%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q43\_Other Child's Race/Ethnicity: Other ethnicity \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q43_Other Child's	Yes	Count	14	1	15
Race/Ethnicity: Other		% within Type	1.4%	.3%	1.2%
ethnicity	No	Count	974	312	1286
		% within Type	98.6%	99.7%	98.8%
Total		Count	988	313	1301
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Summary of Child Ethnicity \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Child Ethnicity	Native American	Count	173	51	224
		% within Type	17.5%	16.4%	17.2%
	African American	Count	134	36	170
		% within Type	13.6%	11.6%	13.1%
	Asian/PI	Count	29	12	41
		% within Type	2.9%	3.9%	3.2%
	Hispanic	Count	121	34	155
		% within Type	12.2%	10.9%	11.9%
	White	Count	500	172	672
		% within Type	50.6%	55.3%	51.7%
	Other	Count	31	6	37
		% within Type	3.1%	1.9%	2.8%
Total	Count		988	311	1299
	% within Type		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q125 Does this child have a sister or brother? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q125 Does this child have a sister or brother?	Yes	Count	892	268	1160
		% within Type	90.4%	86.7%	89.5%
	No	Count	95	41	136
		% within Type	9.6%	13.3%	10.5%
Total	Count		987	309	1296
	% within Type		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q125A How many sisters and brothers does this child have?	One	Count	180	72	252
		% within Type	21.0%	27.1%	22.5%
	Two	Count	219	68	287
		% within Type	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%
	Three	Count	181	51	232
		% within Type	21.1%	19.2%	20.7%
	Four	Count	115	35	150
		% within Type	13.4%	13.2%	13.4%
	Five	Count	67	19	86
		% within Type	7.8%	7.1%	7.7%
	Six	Count	45	13	58
		% within Type	5.3%	4.9%	5.2%
	Seven	Count	25	3	28
		% within Type	2.9%	1.1%	2.5%
	Eight	Count	11	3	14
		% within Type	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%
	Nine or more	Count	13	2	15
		% within Type	1.5%	.8%	1.3%
Total	Count	856	266	1122	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q125F Of these, how many are full siblings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q125F Of these, how many are full siblings?	None	Count	365	125	490
		% within Type	44.9%	47.2%	45.5%
	One	Count	215	70	285
		% within Type	26.4%	26.4%	26.4%
	Two	Count	120	43	163
		% within Type	14.8%	16.2%	15.1%
	Three	Count	50	15	65
		% within Type	6.2%	5.7%	6.0%
	Four	Count	32	5	37
		% within Type	3.9%	1.9%	3.4%
	Five	Count	15	6	21
		% within Type	1.8%	2.3%	1.9%
	Six	Count	10	1	11
		% within Type	1.2%	.4%	1.0%
	Seven	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	Nine or more	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	813	265	1078
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q125H How many are half siblings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q125H How many are half siblings?	None	Count	197	63	260
		% within Type	24.5%	23.9%	24.3%
	One	Count	191	69	260
		% within Type	23.7%	26.1%	24.3%
	Two	Count	172	57	229
		% within Type	21.4%	21.6%	21.4%
	Three	Count	102	38	140
		% within Type	12.7%	14.4%	13.1%
	Four	Count	69	20	89
		% within Type	8.6%	7.6%	8.3%
	Five	Count	35	9	44
		% within Type	4.3%	3.4%	4.1%
	Six	Count	24	7	31
		% within Type	3.0%	2.7%	2.9%
	Seven	Count	9	0	9
		% within Type	1.1%	.0%	.8%
	Eight	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.2%	.0%	.2%
	Nine or more	Count	4	1	5
		% within Type	.5%	.4%	.5%
Total		Count	805	264	1069
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q125S How many are step siblings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q125S How many are step siblings?	None	Count	759	235	994
		% within Type	95.4%	90.7%	94.2%
	One	Count	14	7	21
		% within Type	1.8%	2.7%	2.0%
	Two	Count	6	10	16
		% within Type	.8%	3.9%	1.5%
	Three	Count	9	4	13
		% within Type	1.1%	1.5%	1.2%
	Four	Count	4	2	6
		% within Type	.5%	.8%	.6%
	Five	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	.0%	.4%	.1%
	Six	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	Seven	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	Eight	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	796	259	1055
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126 In the first half of 2009, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126 In the first half of 2009, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home?	Yes	Count	115	58	173
		% within Type	12.9%	21.8%	15.0%
	No	Count	776	208	984
		% within Type	87.1%	78.2%	85.0%
Total		Count	891	266	1157
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126A How many siblings were placed in: The first setting is with their mother? \***

		Type Crosstabulation			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126A How many siblings were placed	0	Count	594	149	743
		% within Type	80.1%	72.7%	78.5%
in: The first setting is with their mother?	1	Count	91	31	122
		% within Type	12.3%	15.1%	12.9%
	2	Count	42	12	54
		% within Type	5.7%	5.9%	5.7%
	3	Count	7	9	16
		% within Type	.9%	4.4%	1.7%
	4	Count	5	2	7
		% within Type	.7%	1.0%	.7%
	5	Count	1	2	3
		% within Type	.1%	1.0%	.3%
	6	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
	12	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	742	205	947
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126B How many siblings were placed in: The next setting is with their father \* Type**

		Crosstabulation			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126B How many siblings were placed	0	Count	608	155	763
		% within Type	82.3%	74.9%	80.7%
in: The next setting is with their father	1	Count	77	30	107
		% within Type	10.4%	14.5%	11.3%
	2	Count	34	19	53
		% within Type	4.6%	9.2%	5.6%
	3	Count	15	2	17
		% within Type	2.0%	1.0%	1.8%

4	Count	2	1	3
	% within Type	.3%	.5%	.3%
5	Count	2	0	2
	% within Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
10	Count	1	0	1
	% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	739	207	946
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126C How many siblings were placed in: With a relative or unlicensed family friend**

**\* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126C How many siblings were placed in: With a relative or unlicensed family friend	0	Count	472	107	579
		% within Type	66.2%	52.2%	63.1%
	1	Count	129	47	176
		% within Type	18.1%	22.9%	19.2%
	2	Count	70	38	108
		% within Type	9.8%	18.5%	11.8%
	3	Count	21	6	27
		% within Type	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%
	4	Count	10	5	15
		% within Type	1.4%	2.4%	1.6%
	5	Count	5	1	6
		% within Type	.7%	.5%	.7%
	6	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	.6%	.0%	.4%
	8	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	.1%	.5%	.2%
12	Count	1	0	1	
	% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%	
Total	Count	713	205	918	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q126D How many siblings were placed in: In another foster home \* Type**

		Crosstabulation			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126D How many siblings were placed in: In another foster home	0	Count	326	133	459
		% within Type	45.2%	66.2%	49.7%
	1	Count	172	37	209
		% within Type	23.8%	18.4%	22.6%
	2	Count	110	21	131
		% within Type	15.2%	10.4%	14.2%
	3	Count	54	8	62
		% within Type	7.5%	4.0%	6.7%
	4	Count	31	1	32
		% within Type	4.3%	.5%	3.5%
	5	Count	15	0	15
		% within Type	2.1%	.0%	1.6%
	6	Count	7	0	7
		% within Type	1.0%	.0%	.8%
	7	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	8	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	.1%	.5%	.2%
	9	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	10	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	722	201	923
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126E How many siblings were placed in: In a group home \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126E How many siblings were placed in: In a group home	0	Count	658	197	855
		% within Type	92.9%	97.0%	93.9%
	1	Count	40	5	45
		% within Type	5.6%	2.5%	4.9%
	2	Count	9	1	10
		% within Type	1.3%	.5%	1.1%
	3	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	708	203	911
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126F How many siblings were placed in: Some other setting \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126F How many siblings were placed in: Some other setting	0	Count	503	148	651
		% within Type	72.2%	74.0%	72.6%
	1	Count	106	33	139
		% within Type	15.2%	16.5%	15.5%
	2	Count	47	11	58
		% within Type	6.7%	5.5%	6.5%
	3	Count	27	2	29
		% within Type	3.9%	1.0%	3.2%
	4	Count	6	3	9
		% within Type	.9%	1.5%	1.0%
	5	Count	6	2	8
		% within Type	.9%	1.0%	.9%
	6	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	.0%	.5%	.1%
	11	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
Total		Count	697	200	897
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126G How many siblings were placed in: Some setting that you don't know about?**

**\* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q126G How many siblings were placed in: Some setting that you don't know about?	0	Count	563	186	749
		% within Type	79.1%	91.6%	81.9%
	1	Count	53	9	62
		% within Type	7.4%	4.4%	6.8%
	2	Count	38	6	44
		% within Type	5.3%	3.0%	4.8%
	3	Count	23	0	23
		% within Type	3.2%	.0%	2.5%
	4	Count	17	0	17
		% within Type	2.4%	.0%	1.9%
	5	Count	9	0	9
		% within Type	1.3%	.0%	1.0%
	6	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	.4%	1.0%	.5%
	7	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	.4%	.0%	.3%
	8	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	.3%	.0%	.2%
	9	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	.1%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	712	203	915
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126H Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings live far apart**

**\* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126H Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings live far apart	Yes	Count	149	41	190
		% within Type	20.2%	20.2%	20.2%
placed together: The siblings live far apart	No	Count	588	162	750
		% within Type	79.8%	79.8%	79.8%
Total		Count	737	203	940
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126I Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings don't get along**

**\* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126I Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The siblings don't get along	Yes	Count	92	6	98
		% within Type	12.4%	2.9%	10.4%
placed together: The siblings don't get along	No	Count	647	201	848
		% within Type	87.6%	97.1%	89.6%
Total		Count	739	207	946
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126J Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Your home doesn't have**

**room for all siblings \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126J Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Your home doesn't have room for all siblings	Yes	Count	196	56	252
		% within Type	25.6%	27.1%	25.9%
placed together: Your home doesn't have room for all siblings	No	Count	569	151	720
		% within Type	74.4%	72.9%	74.1%
Total		Count	765	207	972
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126K Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings can't live together due to safety issues \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126K Reasons that siblings may not be placed together:	Yes	Count	208	25	233
		% within Type	28.2%	12.1%	24.7%
Siblings can't live together due to safety issues	No	Count	529	181	710
		% within Type	71.8%	87.9%	75.3%
Total		Count	737	206	943
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126L Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings don't know one another \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126L Reasons that siblings may not be placed together:	Yes	Count	166	37	203
		% within Type	22.1%	17.9%	21.2%
Siblings don't know one another	No	Count	584	170	754
		% within Type	77.9%	82.1%	78.8%
Total		Count	750	207	957
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126M Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: Siblings are over age 18 \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126M Reasons that siblings may not be placed together:	Yes	Count	142	37	179
		% within Type	18.8%	17.8%	18.6%
Siblings are over age 18	No	Count	614	171	785
		% within Type	81.2%	82.2%	81.4%
Total		Count	756	208	964
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126N Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not willing or able to take all siblings \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126N Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not willing or able to take all siblings	Yes	Count	259	75	334
		% within Type	33.9%	36.4%	34.5%
	No	Count	504	131	635
		% within Type	66.1%	63.6%	65.5%
Total		Count	763	206	969
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126O Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The department didn't ask you to \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126O Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: The department didn't ask you to	Yes	Count	395	86	481
		% within Type	51.5%	42.2%	49.5%
	No	Count	372	118	490
		% within Type	48.5%	57.8%	50.5%
Total		Count	767	204	971
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126P Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not sure why \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126P Reasons that siblings may not be placed together: You are not sure why	Yes	Count	122	23	145
		% within Type	16.1%	11.5%	15.1%
	No	Count	636	177	813
		% within Type	83.9%	88.5%	84.9%
Total		Count	758	200	958
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126Q Were there any other reasons that siblings may not have been placed together? \***

**Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126Q Were there any other reasons that siblings may not have been placed together?	Yes - specify	Count	442	116	558
		% within Type	60.2%	56.6%	59.4%
	No	Count	292	89	381
		% within Type	39.8%	43.4%	40.6%
Total		Count	734	205	939
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q126QC \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q126QC	Child has special needs	Count	19	4	23
		% within Type	4.3%	3.5%	4.1%
	Age-appropriate needs can't be met	Count	16	2	18
		% within Type	3.6%	1.7%	3.2%
	Health problems	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	.9%	.0%	.7%
	Behavioral problems	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	1.4%	.0%	1.1%
	Foster HH doesn't take girls/boys	Count	8	0	8
		% within Type	1.8%	.0%	1.4%
	Too demanding for caregiver	Count	13	8	21
		% within Type	2.9%	7.0%	3.8%
	Living with parents/relative/family	Count	67	35	102
		% within Type	15.2%	30.4%	18.3%
	Children have not previously lived together	Count	55	11	66
		% within Type	12.5%	9.6%	11.9%
	Hostile child	Count	23	6	29
		% within Type	5.2%	5.2%	5.2%
	Unwilling to take child	Count	9	0	9
		% within Type	2.0%	.0%	1.6%
	Child acting out/drugs	Count	20	4	24

	% within Type	4.5%	3.5%	4.3%
Agency decision	Count	9	4	13
	% within Type	2.0%	3.5%	2.3%
Located too far away	Count	7	0	7
	% within Type	1.6%	.0%	1.3%
No room for children	Count	10	3	13
	% within Type	2.3%	2.6%	2.3%
Children adopted or grown	Count	72	9	81
	% within Type	16.3%	7.8%	14.6%
Children have different families	Count	32	11	43
	% within Type	7.3%	9.6%	7.7%
Children not in foster care	Count	23	3	26
	% within Type	5.2%	2.6%	4.7%
Alleged abuse	Count	9	0	9
	% within Type	2.0%	.0%	1.6%
Other	Count	19	12	31
	% within Type	4.3%	10.4%	5.6%
NA/DK	Count	20	3	23
	% within Type	4.5%	2.6%	4.1%
Total	Count	441	115	556
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and (his/her) siblings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q127 Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and (his/her) siblings?	Yes	Count	90	20	110
		% within Type	11.7%	9.8%	11.3%
	No	Count	676	185	861
		% within Type	88.3%	90.2%	88.7%
Total	Count	766	205	971	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q128 In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128 In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with their siblings?	Refused	Count	2	2	4
		% within Type	.3%	1.1%	.5%
	Don't know	Count	10	1	11
		% within Type	1.5%	.5%	1.3%
	NOT AT ALL	Count	230	46	276
		% within Type	33.6%	24.3%	31.6%
	LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	Count	97	22	119
		% within Type	14.2%	11.6%	13.6%
	ONCE A MONTH	Count	83	21	104
		% within Type	12.1%	11.1%	11.9%
	TWICE A MONTH	Count	70	24	94
		% within Type	10.2%	12.7%	10.8%
	THREE TIMES A MONTH	Count	25	13	38
		% within Type	3.6%	6.9%	4.3%
	FOUR TIMES A MONTH	Count	77	17	94
		% within Type	11.2%	9.0%	10.8%
	MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH	Count	91	43	134
		% within Type	13.3%	22.8%	15.3%
Total		Count	685	189	874
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128B In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128B In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events?	Refused	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	.4%	1.1%	.6%
	Don't know	Count	12	4	16
		% within Type	1.8%	2.1%	1.8%
	NOT AT ALL	Count	344	70	414
		% within Type	50.2%	37.0%	47.4%
	LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	Count	70	26	96
		% within Type	10.2%	13.8%	11.0%
	ONCE A MONTH	Count	68	16	84
		% within Type	9.9%	8.5%	9.6%
	TWICE A MONTH	Count	51	14	65
		% within Type	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%
	THREE TIMES A MONTH	Count	19	7	26
		% within Type	2.8%	3.7%	3.0%
	FOUR TIMES A MONTH	Count	37	13	50
		% within Type	5.4%	6.9%	5.7%
	MORE THAN 4 TIMES A MONTH	Count	81	37	118
		% within Type	11.8%	19.6%	13.5%
Total	Count	685	189	874	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q128C No contact with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact \* Type**

**Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128C No contact with siblings: This child doesn't want visits or contact	Yes	Count	18	7	25
		% within Type	5.3%	10.4%	6.1%
	No	Count	322	60	382
		% within Type	94.7%	89.6%	93.9%
Total		Count	340	67	407
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128D No contact with siblings: Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128D No contact	Yes	Count	161	31	192
with siblings: Visits or		% within Type	48.2%	47.0%	48.0%
contact have not been	No	Count	173	35	208
coordinated by the		% within Type	51.8%	53.0%	52.0%
department					
Total		Count	334	66	400
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128E No contact with siblings: The children are physically far apart \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128E No contact	Yes	Count	175	35	210
with siblings: The		% within Type	53.7%	51.5%	53.3%
children are physically	No	Count	151	33	184
far apart		% within Type	46.3%	48.5%	46.7%
Total		Count	326	68	394
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128F No contact with siblings: Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128F No contact with	Yes	Count	154	25	179
siblings: Children's age		% within Type	45.7%	36.8%	44.2%
makes phone or other	No	Count	183	43	226
contact unreasonable		% within Type	54.3%	63.2%	55.8%
Total		Count	337	68	405
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128G No contact with siblings: Haven't got around to doing it \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q128G No contact	Yes	Count	37	6	43
with siblings: Haven't		% within Type	11.1%	8.8%	10.7%
got around to doing it	No	Count	297	62	359
		% within Type	88.9%	91.2%	89.3%
Total		Count	334	68	402
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128H No contact with siblings: Scheduling conflicts \* Type Crosstabulation**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q128H No contact	Yes	Count	61	18	79
with siblings:		% within Type	17.9%	26.5%	19.4%
Scheduling conflicts	No	Count	279	50	329
		% within Type	82.1%	73.5%	80.6%
Total		Count	340	68	408
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128I No contact with siblings: Sibling's caregiver was unsuccessful in scheduling visits \* Type Crosstabulation**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q128I No contact with	Yes	Count	43	10	53
siblings: Sibling's		% within Type	13.0%	15.2%	13.4%
caregiver was	No	Count	288	56	344
unsuccessful in		% within Type	87.0%	84.8%	86.6%
scheduling visits					
Total		Count	331	66	397
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128J No contact with siblings: Sibling aged out \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128J No contact with siblings: Sibling aged out	Yes	Count	31	8	39
		% within Type	9.2%	11.9%	9.6%
	No	Count	307	59	366
		% within Type	90.8%	88.1%	90.4%
Total		Count	338	67	405
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128K No contact with siblings: Sibling was adopted \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128K No contact with siblings: Sibling was adopted	Yes	Count	98	12	110
		% within Type	29.6%	17.9%	27.6%
	No	Count	233	55	288
		% within Type	70.4%	82.1%	72.4%
Total		Count	331	67	398
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128L No contact with siblings: Not sure why? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128L No contact with siblings: Not sure why?	Yes	Count	123	23	146
		% within Type	35.5%	33.8%	35.3%
	No	Count	223	45	268
		% within Type	64.5%	66.2%	64.7%
Total		Count	346	68	414
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128M No contact with siblings: Other reasons \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128M No contact	Yes - specify	Count	184	44	228
with siblings: Other		% within Type	55.6%	62.9%	56.9%
reasons	No	Count	147	26	173
		% within Type	44.4%	37.1%	43.1%
Total		Count	331	70	401
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q128MC \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q128MC	Parent decision	Count	30	5	35
		% within Type	16.4%	11.6%	15.5%
	Caregiver decision	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	3.3%	.0%	2.7%
	Unavailable	Count	3	1	4
		% within Type	1.6%	2.3%	1.8%
	Hurtful behavior by parents/siblings	Count	17	7	24
		% within Type	9.3%	16.3%	10.6%
	Child doesn't know siblings	Count	28	4	32
		% within Type	15.3%	9.3%	14.2%
	Agency/counselor decision	Count	11	2	13
		% within Type	6.0%	4.7%	5.8%
	Scheduling problems	Count	3	2	5
		% within Type	1.6%	4.7%	2.2%
	Too far away	Count	24	7	31
		% within Type	13.1%	16.3%	13.7%
	Little/no effort to arrange visit	Count	20	5	25
		% within Type	10.9%	11.6%	11.1%
	No contact info	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	.0%	2.3%	.4%
	Child decision	Count	7	2	9
		% within Type	3.8%	4.7%	4.0%

Agency unable to locate sibling	Count	3	0	3
	% within Type	1.6%	.0%	1.3%
Other child not in foster care/adopted	Count	6	0	6
	% within Type	3.3%	.0%	2.7%
Other	Count	18	3	21
	% within Type	9.8%	7.0%	9.3%
NA/DK	Count	1	3	4
	% within Type	.5%	7.0%	1.8%
Difficulty financing travel	Count	3	0	3
	% within Type	1.6%	.0%	1.3%
Court order	Count	3	1	4
	% within Type	1.6%	2.3%	1.8%
Total	Count	183	43	226
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q59 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2009?	Strongly agree	Count	665	217	882
		% within Type	67.7%	71.1%	68.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	183	44	227
		% within Type	18.6%	14.4%	17.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	49	13	62
		% within Type	5.0%	4.3%	4.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	86	31	117
		% within Type	8.7%	10.2%	9.1%
Total		Count	983	305	1288
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2009, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q60 If the child was placed with you in 2009, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Yes	Count	479	158	637
		% within Type	48.7%	51.8%	49.4%
	No	Count	120	58	178
		% within Type	12.2%	19.0%	13.8%
Child placed before 2009	Child placed before 2009	Count	385	89	474
		% within Type	39.1%	29.2%	36.8%
Total		Count	984	305	1289
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q61 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2009?	Strongly agree	Count	315	98	413
		% within Type	56.1%	62.0%	57.4%
SOMEWHAT AGREE,	Somewhat agree	Count	119	18	137
		% within Type	21.2%	11.4%	19.1%
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or	Somewhat disagree	Count	43	13	56
		% within Type	7.7%	8.2%	7.8%
STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2009?	Strongly disagree	Count	84	29	113
		% within Type	15.0%	18.4%	15.7%
Total		Count	561	158	719
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q62 If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q62 If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Yes	Count	226	73	299
		% within Type	39.2%	46.8%	40.8%
	No	Count	105	32	137
		% within Type	18.2%	20.5%	18.7%
Child placed before 2009		Count	245	51	296
		% within Type	42.5%	32.7%	40.4%
Total		Count	576	156	732
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q63 Has this child been identified as having 'developmental delays'? \* Type**

**Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q63 Has this child been identified as having 'developmental delays'?	Yes	Count	444	99	543
		% within Type	45.5%	32.7%	42.5%
	No	Count	532	204	736
		% within Type	54.5%	67.3%	57.5%
Total		Count	976	303	1279
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q63A Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2009?	Strongly agree	Count	235	59	294	
		% within Type	54.4%	62.8%	55.9%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	85	11	96	
		% within Type	19.7%	11.7%	18.3%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	43	7	50	
		% within Type	10.0%	7.4%	9.5%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	69	17	86	
		% within Type	16.0%	18.1%	16.3%	
<b>Total</b>			<b>Count</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>526</b>
			<b>% within Type</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Q63B If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q63B If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?	Yes	Count	169	49	218	
		% within Type	38.5%	50.5%	40.7%	
	No	Count	84	23	107	
		% within Type	19.1%	23.7%	20.0%	
	Child placed before 2009	Count	186	25	211	
		% within Type	42.4%	25.8%	39.4%	
<b>Total</b>			<b>Count</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>536</b>
			<b>% within Type</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q64 Would you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2009?	Strongly agree	Count	513	192	705
		% within Type	53.7%	64.6%	56.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	208	41	249
		% within Type	21.8%	13.8%	19.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	94	18	112
		% within Type	9.8%	6.1%	8.9%
	Strongly disagree	Count	141	46	187
		% within Type	14.7%	15.5%	14.9%
Total		Count	956	297	1253
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q65 If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q65 If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home?	Yes	Count	407	128	535
		% within Type	42.2%	43.7%	42.5%
	No	Count	164	63	227
		% within Type	17.0%	21.5%	18.0%
	Child placed before 2009	Count	394	102	496
		% within Type	40.8%	34.8%	39.4%
Total		Count	965	293	1258
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q67A In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2009?	Yes	Count	262	69	331
		% within Type	27.0%	22.7%	26.0%
	No	Count	709	235	944
		% within Type	73.0%	77.3%	74.0%
Total		Count	971	304	1275
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2009? \***

**Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q67B Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2009?	Yes	Count	247	65	312
		% within Type	95.0%	94.2%	94.8%
	No	Count	13	4	17
		% within Type	5.0%	5.8%	5.2%
Total		Count	260	69	329
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis? \***

**Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q67C Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?	Yes	Count	218	57	275
		% within Type	83.2%	82.6%	83.1%
	No	Count	44	12	56
		% within Type	16.8%	17.4%	16.9%
Total		Count	262	69	331
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q67D Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?	Yes	Count	181	47	228
		% within Type	83.4%	82.5%	83.2%
	No	Count	36	10	46
		% within Type	16.6%	17.5%	16.8%
Total		Count	217	57	274
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q67 Where there any other times in 2009, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q67 Where there any other times in 2009, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?	Yes	Count	330	76	406
		% within Type	33.7%	24.7%	31.6%
	No	Count	648	232	880
		% within Type	66.3%	75.3%	68.4%
Total		Count	978	308	1286
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q68 Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?	Yes	Count	260	55	315
		% within Type	79.3%	72.4%	78.0%
	No	Count	68	21	89
		% within Type	20.7%	27.6%	22.0%
Total		Count	328	76	404
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q70 In 2009, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q70 In 2009, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?	By just one clinician	Count	147	33	180
		% within Type	57.9%	60.0%	58.3%
	By a team of clinicians	Count	107	22	129
		% within Type	42.1%	40.0%	41.7%
Total		Count	254	55	309
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q71 During 2009, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q71 During 2009, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?	The same clinician/ treatment team	Count	198	43	241
		% within Type	78.6%	82.7%	79.3%
	Different clinicians/ treatment teams	Count	54	9	63
		% within Type	21.4%	17.3%	20.7%
Total		Count	252	52	304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q72 In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child?	Yes	Count	41	7	48
		% within Type	77.4%	77.8%	77.4%
	No	Count	12	2	14
		% within Type	22.6%	22.2%	22.6%
Total		Count	53	9	62
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q78 During the first half of 2009 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings; \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q78 During the first half of 2009 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings;	ALWAYS	Count	337	108	445
		% within Type	35.0%	36.0%	35.2%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	235	72	307
		% within Type	24.4%	24.0%	24.3%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	99	36	135
		% within Type	10.3%	12.0%	10.7%
	RARELY	Count	146	38	184
		% within Type	15.1%	12.7%	14.6%
	NEVER	Count	147	46	193
		% within Type	15.2%	15.3%	15.3%
Total		Count	964	300	1264
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q57 In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q57 In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings	ALWAYS	Count	375	114	489
		% within Type	38.3%	37.4%	38.1%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	190	68	258
		% within Type	19.4%	22.3%	20.1%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	100	33	133
		% within Type	10.2%	10.8%	10.4%
	RARELY	Count	127	36	163
		% within Type	13.0%	11.8%	12.7%
	NEVER	Count	107	33	140
		% within Type	10.9%	10.8%	10.9%
	WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2009	Count	80	21	101
		% within Type	8.2%	6.9%	7.9%
	Total	Count	979	305	1284
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q58 In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q58 In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings?	ALWAYS	Count	385	128	513
		% within Type	43.0%	44.8%	43.4%
	MOST OF THE TIME	Count	107	40	147
		% within Type	11.9%	14.0%	12.4%
	ABOUT HALF THE TIME	Count	71	22	93
		% within Type	7.9%	7.7%	7.9%
	RARELY	Count	107	34	141
		% within Type	11.9%	11.9%	11.9%
	NEVER	Count	226	62	288
		% within Type	25.2%	21.7%	24.4%
	Total	Count	896	286	1182
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q53 In the first half of 2009, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q53 In the first half of 2009, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home?	SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH	Count	120	25	145
		% within Type	12.3%	8.2%	11.3%
	ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	Count	573	198	771
		% within Type	58.7%	65.1%	60.2%
	ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH	Count	102	24	126
		% within Type	10.5%	7.9%	9.8%
	ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS	Count	85	22	107
		% within Type	8.7%	7.2%	8.4%
	ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS	Count	40	12	52
		% within Type	4.1%	3.9%	4.1%
	ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR	Count	25	8	33
		% within Type	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%
	NEVER IN 2009	Count	31	15	46
		% within Type	3.2%	4.9%	3.6%
Total	Count	976	304	1280	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q94 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2009?	VERY SATISFIED	Count	430	122	552
		% within Type	44.0%	40.1%	43.1%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	254	80	334
		% within Type	26.0%	26.3%	26.1%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	126	42	168
		% within Type	12.9%	13.8%	13.1%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	168	60	228
		% within Type	17.2%	19.7%	17.8%
	Total	Count	978	304	1282
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q33 In the first half of 2009 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile' \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q33 In the first half of 2009 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as 'medically fragile'	Yes	Count	186	36	222
		% within Type	18.9%	11.8%	17.2%
	No	Count	797	269	1066
		% within Type	81.1%	88.2%	82.8%
Total		Count	983	305	1288
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q33A Given this definition of 'medically fragile' children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?	Yes	Count	100	11	111
		% within Type	55.2%	33.3%	51.9%
	No	Count	81	22	103
		% within Type	44.8%	66.7%	48.1%
Total		Count	181	33	214
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q34 How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2009?	1	Count	62	8	70
		% within Type	62.0%	72.7%	63.1%
	2	Count	23	1	24
		% within Type	23.0%	9.1%	21.6%
	3	Count	8	1	9
		% within Type	8.0%	9.1%	8.1%
	4	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	3.0%	.0%	2.7%
	5	Count	2	0	2
		% within Type	2.0%	.0%	1.8%
	6	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	7	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	.0%	9.1%	.9%
	9	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	Total	Count	100	11	111
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q35 Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for (this/these) medically fragile child(ren)?	Yes	Count	72	8	80
		% within Type	72.7%	72.7%	72.7%
	No	Count	27	3	30
		% within Type	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%
	Total	Count	99	11	110
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q38 In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \***

		Type Crosstabulation			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q38 In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	98	10	108
		% within Type	99.0%	90.9%	98.2%
	No	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	1.0%	9.1%	1.8%
Total		Count	99	11	110
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q39 In 2009 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type Crosstabulation			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q39 In 2009 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for (this/these) child(ren)?	Yes	Count	92	10	102
		% within Type	93.9%	100.0%	94.4%
	No	Count	6	0	6
		% within Type	6.1%	.0%	5.6%
Total		Count	98	10	108
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. \* Type**

		Crosstabulation			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR110 Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.	Yes	Count	218	36	254
		% within Type	22.3%	11.8%	19.8%
	No	Count	760	268	1028
		% within Type	77.7%	88.2%	80.2%
Total		Count	978	304	1282
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
Q110A Given this definition of 'Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Yes	Count	154	16	170
		% within Type	72.3%	45.7%	68.5%
	No	Count	59	19	78
		% within Type	27.7%	54.3%	31.5%
Total		Count	213	35	248
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QR109 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?	Yes	Count	115	6	121
		% within Type	76.2%	37.5%	72.5%
	No	Count	36	10	46
		% within Type	23.8%	62.5%	27.5%
Total		Count	151	16	167
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR111 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? \* Type**

**Crosstabulation**

		Type			
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QR111 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?	Yes	Count	102	6	108
		% within Type	68.9%	37.5%	65.9%
	No	Count	46	10	56
		% within Type	31.1%	62.5%	34.1%
Total		Count	148	16	164
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR111A Was the plan in written form? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR111A Was the plan in written form?	Yes	Count	92	4	96
		% within Type	92.9%	80.0%	92.3%
	No	Count	7	1	8
		% within Type	7.1%	20.0%	7.7%
Total		Count	99	5	104
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR111B Was the plan discussed with you? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR111B Was the plan discussed with you?	Yes	Count	101	5	106
		% within Type	99.0%	83.3%	98.1%
	No	Count	1	1	2
		% within Type	1.0%	16.7%	1.9%
Total		Count	102	6	108
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR113 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	97	6	103
		% within Type	96.0%	100.0%	96.3%
	No	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	4.0%	.0%	3.7%
Total		Count	101	6	107
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship. \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR115 Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.	Yes	Count	91	12	103
		% within Type	9.3%		8.0%
	No	Count	884	293	1177
		% within Type	90.7%	96.1%	92.0%
Total		Count	975	305	1280
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q115A Given this definition of 'Sexually Aggressive' children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?	Yes	Count	59	5	64
		% within Type	67.8%	41.7%	64.6%
	No	Count	28	7	35
		% within Type	32.2%	58.3%	35.4%
Total		Count	87	12	99
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR114 Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?	Yes	Count	50	1	51
		% within Type	84.7%	20.0%	79.7%
	No	Count	9	4	13
		% within Type	15.3%	80.0%	20.3%
Total		Count	59	5	64
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR116 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child? \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR116 Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?	Yes	Count	51	2	53
		% within Type	86.4%	40.0%	82.8%
	No	Count	8	3	11
		% within Type	13.6%	60.0%	17.2%
Total		Count	59	5	64
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**R116A Was the plan in written form? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
R116A Was the plan in written form?	Yes	Count	46	1	47
		% within Type	92.0%	50.0%	90.4%
	No	Count	4	1	5
		% within Type	8.0%	50.0%	9.6%
Total		Count	50	2	52
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**R116B Was the plan discussed with you? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
R116B Was the plan discussed with you?	Yes	Count	50	2	52
		% within Type	98.0%	100.0%	98.1%
	No	Count	1	0	1
		% within Type	2.0%	.0%	1.9%
Total		Count	51	2	53
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
QR118 Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?	Yes	Count	48	2	50
		% within Type	94.1%	100.0%	94.3%
	No	Count	3	0	3
		% within Type	5.9%	.0%	5.7%
Total		Count	51	2	53
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q87 In the first half of 2009, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q87 In the first half of 2009, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?	Yes	Count	350	87	437
		% within Type	35.9%	28.2%	34.0%
	No	Count	626	222	848
		% within Type	64.1%	71.8%	66.0%
Total		Count	976	309	1285
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**NQ88 About how many times did this happen in the last half of 2008? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
NQ88 About how many times did this happen in the last half of 2008?	Once	Count	109	34	143
		% within Type	31.7%	39.5%	33.3%
	Twice	Count	86	21	107
		% within Type	25.0%	24.4%	24.9%
	Three times	Count	53	14	67
		% within Type	15.4%	16.3%	15.6%
	Four times	Count	27	9	36
		% within Type	7.8%	10.5%	8.4%
	Five times	Count	19	0	19
		% within Type	5.5%	.0%	4.4%
	6 to 10 times	Count	26	4	30
		% within Type	7.6%	4.7%	7.0%
	11 to 15 times	Count	12	1	13
		% within Type	3.5%	1.2%	3.0%
	16 to 20 times	Count	4	0	4
		% within Type	1.2%	.0%	.9%
	More than 20 times	Count	8	3	11
		% within Type	2.3%	3.5%	2.6%
Total	Count	344	86	430	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2009, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q89 The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2009, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response?	YES, RIGHT AWAY	Count	180	34	214
		% within Type	51.6%	39.1%	49.1%
	YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE	Count	97	31	128
		% within Type	27.8%	35.6%	29.4%
	NO	Count	72	22	94
		% within Type	20.6%	25.3%	21.6%
	Total	Count	349	87	436
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2009? \* Type**

**Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q93 How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2009?	VERY SUPPORTIVE	Count	400	115	515
		% within Type	49.6%	51.1%	49.9%
	SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE	Count	281	75	356
		% within Type	34.8%	33.3%	34.5%
	SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE	Count	62	12	74
		% within Type	7.7%	5.3%	7.2%
	VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	Count	64	23	87
		% within Type	7.9%	10.2%	8.4%
Total	Count	807	225	1032	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Q93A What's the main reason that you say the agency was unsupportive in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during the first half of 2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q93A What's the main reason that you say the agency was unsupportive in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during the first half of 2009?	Open Text Box	Count	126	32	158
		% within Type	100.0%	91.4%	98.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	2
		% within Type	.0%	5.7%	1.2%
	Refused	Count	0	1	1
		% within Type	.0%	2.9%	.6%
	Total	Count	126	35	161
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q93AC \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q93AC	Agency did not deal with issues	Count	47	6	53
		% within Type	40.2%	19.4%	35.8%
	Agency took no action when asked	Count	12	6	18
		% within Type	10.3%	19.4%	12.2%
	Agency not supportive/helpful	Count	24	1	25
		% within Type	20.5%	3.2%	16.9%
	Agency says its FP responsibility	Count	4	1	5
		% within Type	3.4%	3.2%	3.4%
	Caseworker ineffective/doesn't care	Count	14	10	24
		% within Type	12.0%	32.3%	16.2%
	Other	Count	15	4	19
		% within Type	12.8%	12.9%	12.8%
	NA/DK	Count	1	3	4
		% within Type	.9%	9.7%	2.7%
Total		Count	117	31	148
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of**

**2009? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q96 Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2009?	VERY SATISFIED	Count	365	112	477
		% within Type	37.4%	36.4%	37.2%
	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	Count	346	87	433
		% within Type	35.5%	28.2%	33.7%
	SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	Count	132	46	178
		% within Type	13.5%	14.9%	13.9%
	VERY DISSATISFIED	Count	132	63	195
		% within Type	13.5%	20.5%	15.2%
Total		Count	975	308	1283
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q27 Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home?	VERY ADEQUATELY	Count	395	94	489
		% within Type	40.4%	30.7%	38.1%
	SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY	Count	430	66	496
		% within Type	44.0%	21.6%	38.6%
	SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY	Count	85	11	96
		% within Type	8.7%	3.6%	7.5%
	VERY INADEQUATELY	Count	50	13	63
		% within Type	5.1%	4.2%	4.9%
	Already prepared - did not need the training	Count	14	27	41
		% within Type	1.4%	8.8%	3.2%
	Have not had any training	Count	4	95	99
		% within Type	.4%	31.0%	7.7%
Total		Count	978	306	1284
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q144 Respondent Gender \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q144 Respondent Gender	Male	Count	123	37	160
		% within Type	12.5%	12.0%	12.4%
	Female	Count	861	272	1133
		% within Type	87.5%	88.0%	87.6%
Total		Count	984	309	1293
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**NQ145 Caregiver Age \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
NQ145 Caregiver Age	20s	Count	32	21	53
		% within Type	3.3%	6.8%	4.1%
	30s	Count	225	39	264
		% within Type	23.0%	12.7%	20.5%
	40s	Count	332	80	412
		% within Type	34.0%	26.0%	32.1%
	50s	Count	254	101	355
		% within Type	26.0%	32.8%	27.6%
	60s	Count	108	54	162
		% within Type	11.1%	17.5%	12.6%
	70+	Count	26	13	39
		% within Type	2.7%	4.2%	3.0%
Total		Count	977	308	1285
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q146 How would you describe the geographic area in which you live?	A RURAL AREA	Count	277	94	371
		% within Type	28.3%	30.4%	28.8%
	A SMALL TOWN	Count	277	83	360
		% within Type	28.3%	26.9%	27.9%
	A SUBURB	Count	195	50	245
		% within Type	19.9%	16.2%	19.0%
	A CITY OR URBAN AREA	Count	231	82	313
		% within Type	23.6%	26.5%	24.3%
Total		Count	980	309	1289
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_AmerInd Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: American Indian/Native American \***

		<b>Type Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_AmerInd	Yes	Count	50	27	77
Respondent's		% within Type	5.0%	8.7%	5.9%
Race/Ethnicity:	No	Count	942	285	1227
American		% within Type	95.0%	91.3%	94.1%
Indian/Native					
American					
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_Black Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Black or African American \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_Black	Yes	Count	49	24	73
Respondent's		% within Type	4.9%	7.7%	5.6%
Race/Ethnicity: Black	No	Count	943	288	1231
or African American		% within Type	95.1%	92.3%	94.4%
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_Asian Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander \***

		<b>Type Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_Asian	Yes	Count	4	5	9
Respondent's		% within Type	.4%	1.6%	.7%
Race/Ethnicity: Asian,	No	Count	988	307	1295
Hawaiian, or Pacific		% within Type	99.6%	98.4%	99.3%
Islander					
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_Hispanic Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latin American \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_Hispanic	Yes	Count	61	25	86
Respondent's		% within Type	6.1%	8.0%	6.6%
Race/Ethnicity:	No	Count	931	287	1218
Hispanic or Latin		% within Type	93.9%	92.0%	93.4%
American					
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_White Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Caucasian or white \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_White	Yes	Count	837	237	1074
Respondent's		% within Type	84.4%	76.0%	82.4%
Race/Ethnicity:	No	Count	155	75	230
Caucasian or white		% within Type	15.6%	24.0%	17.6%
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_Eskimo Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Eskimo or Aleut \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_Eskimo	No	Count	992	312	1304
Respondent's		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Race/Ethnicity:					
Eskimo or Aleut					
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_Multiple Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Multiple ethnicities \* Type**

		<b>Crosstabulation</b>			
		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_Multiple	Yes	Count	17	4	21
Respondent's		% within Type	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%
Race/Ethnicity:	No	Count	975	308	1283
Multiple ethnicities		% within Type	98.3%	98.7%	98.4%
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q147\_Other Respondent's Race/Ethnicity: Other ethnicity \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q147_Other	Yes	Count	10	3	13
Respondent's		% within Type	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Race/Ethnicity: Other	No	Count	982	309	1291
ethnicity		% within Type	99.0%	99.0%	99.0%
Total		Count	992	312	1304
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Summary of Respondent Ethnicity \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Respondent Ethnicity	Native American	Count	50	27	77
		% within Type	5.1%	8.8%	6.0%
	African American	Count	47	23	70
		% within Type	4.8%	7.5%	5.4%
	Asian/PI	Count	4	5	9
		% within Type	.4%	1.6%	.7%
	Hispanic	Count	57	23	80
		% within Type	5.8%	7.5%	6.2%
	White	Count	802	225	1027
		% within Type	81.7%	73.1%	79.6%
	Other	Count	22	5	27
		% within Type	2.2%	1.6%	2.1%
Total		Count	982	308	1290
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school? \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q148 What is the highest grade that you completed in school?	None, or grades 1 through 8	Count	9	3	12
		% within Type	.9%	1.0%	.9%
	High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11	Count	35	23	58
		% within Type	3.6%	7.4%	4.5%
	High school graduate, grade 12 or GED	Count	215	111	326
		% within Type	21.9%	35.9%	25.2%
	Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school	Count	35	13	48
		% within Type	3.6%	4.2%	3.7%
	Some college, but no degree	Count	233	60	293
		% within Type	23.7%	19.4%	22.7%
	Associate (2-year) college degree	Count	142	42	184
		% within Type	14.4%	13.6%	14.2%
	College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other	Count	187	37	224
		% within Type	19.0%	12.0%	17.3%
	Post graduate training or professional school	Count	27	6	33
		% within Type	2.7%	1.9%	2.6%
	Masters degree	Count	88	12	100
		% within Type	9.0%	3.9%	7.7%
	Doctorate degree	Count	12	2	14
		% within Type	1.2%	.6%	1.1%
Total		Count	983	309	1292
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**NQ153 Zipcode Region \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
NQ153 Zipcode Region	Other	Count	1	4	5
		% within Type	.1%	1.3%	.4%
	West	Count	789	243	1032
		% within Type	80.6%	79.4%	80.3%
	East	Count	189	59	248
		% within Type	19.3%	19.3%	19.3%
Total	Count	979	306	1285	
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**NQ154 County Area \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
NQ154 County Area	East Rural	Count	109	30	139
		% within Type	11.2%	9.8%	10.9%
	East Urban	Count	198	66	264
		% within Type	20.4%	21.6%	20.7%
	West Rural	Count	135	40	175
		% within Type	13.9%	13.1%	13.7%
	West Urban	Count	526	162	688
		% within Type	54.2%	52.9%	53.9%
	Out of State	Count	3	8	11
		% within Type	.3%	2.6%	.9%
	Total	Count	971	306	1277
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QLAST\_2007 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QLAST_2007 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	145	23	168
		% within Type	15.0%	7.7%	13.3%
	No	Count	819	277	1096
		% within Type	85.0%	92.3%	86.7%
Total		Count	964	300	1264
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QLAST\_2008 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2008 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QLAST_2008 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2008 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	220	45	265
		% within Type	22.1%	14.4%	20.3%
	No	Count	774	268	1042
		% within Type	77.9%	85.6%	79.7%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**QLAST\_2009 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2009 about your foster care experiences? \* Type Crosstabulation**

			Type		
			Licensed	Unlicensed	Total
QLAST_2009 Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2009 about your foster care experiences?	Yes	Count	490	187	677
		% within Type	49.3%	59.7%	51.8%
	No	Count	504	126	630
		% within Type	50.7%	40.3%	48.2%
Total		Count	994	313	1307
		% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Q155C \* Type Crosstabulation**

		Type			
		Licensed	Unlicensed	Total	
Q155C	Financial compensation/reimbursement	Count	22	12	34
		% within Type	6.0%	11.5%	7.2%
	Foster parents need better information from agency	Count	11	4	15
		% within Type	3.0%	3.8%	3.2%
	System and social workers should work for best interests of youth	Count	25	8	33
		% within Type	6.8%	7.7%	7.0%
	Private agencies do a better job	Count	8	0	8
		% within Type	2.2%	.0%	1.7%
	Foster parents should be respected/supported	Count	17	3	20
		% within Type	4.6%	2.9%	4.2%
	Case workers are overloaded/need more case workers/less turnover	Count	12	11	23
		% within Type	3.3%	10.6%	4.9%
	Insufficient/inaccessible respite care	Count	6	2	8
		% within Type	1.6%	1.9%	1.7%
	Children are moved too often/need stable environment	Count	7	2	9
		% within Type	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
	Inadequate training/want more training	Count	20	5	25
		% within Type	5.4%	4.8%	5.3%
	Unpleasant experience working with agency/social workers	Count	7	2	9
		% within Type	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
	Good experience working with social workers	Count	11	6	17
		% within Type	3.0%	5.8%	3.6%
	Social workers are unprofessional	Count	16	4	20
		% within Type	4.4%	3.8%	4.2%
	Satisfied with agency/foster home	Count	43	3	46
		% within Type	11.7%	2.9%	9.8%
	Wants adoption procedures speeded up	Count	15	4	19
		% within Type	4.1%	3.8%	4.0%
	Do more to keep families together	Count	10	3	13
		% within Type	2.7%	2.9%	2.8%
	Some social workers are good/others are not	Count	10	1	11
		% within Type	2.7%	1.0%	2.3%

More attention to autistic/medically fragile youth	Count	6	0	6
	% within Type	1.6%	.0%	1.3%
State's financial problems are making the system worse	Count	27	1	28
	% within Type	7.4%	1.0%	5.9%
System/agency is not good	Count	22	9	31
	% within Type	6.0%	8.7%	6.6%
Other	Count	68	22	90
	% within Type	18.5%	21.2%	19.1%
NA/DK	Count	4	2	6
	% within Type	1.1%	1.9%	1.3%
Total	Count	367	104	471
	% within Type	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Survey Questionnaire

<u>Braam Outcomes</u>	<u>Survey Questions</u>
C.1.1 Adequate training of caregivers	Q27
C.1.2 Adequate support of caregivers	Q89, Q93, Q94, Q96, Q57, Q58, Q78
C.1.3 Adequate information for caregivers	Q59, Q61, Q64, Q60, Q62, Q65, Q63A, 63B
D.1.5 Medically fragile care	Q33, Q38, Q35, Q39 (includes screening Q)
D.1.3 SAY - Sexually Aggressive Youth	Q114, Q115, Q118 (includes screening Q)
D.1.4 PAY - Physically Assaultive Youth	Q109 Q110, Q113 (includes screening Q)
D.1.6 Monthly caseworker visits	Q53
B.4.1 Behavioral health services	Q68, Q71, Q72 (includes screening Q)
E.2.1 Sibling visits	Q125, Q126, Q127, Q128, Q128B (includes screening Q)

**Introduction**

**BEGIN**

Hello, is this the <Respondent Name> household? This is <interviewer name> from Washington State University.

- 1. Yes – Continue .....1
- 2. Not available – Callback.....2 → Get best time to call back
- 3. Nonworking number .....3
- 4. Noncontact .....4
- 5. Communication barrier .....5
- 6. Other.....6
- 7. Ineligible .....7

**BEGN2**

We mailed a letter recently about a survey we are conducting of foster parents and caregivers in Washington State. Do you remember receiving the letter? (IWR Prompt if R says NO: "It was a brief letter to let you know we would be calling.") The survey is being conducted to improve our understanding of the foster care system in Washington from the foster parent and caregiver perspective. May I speak with the main foster parent or child caregiver in your household?

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. Not available - Callback..... 2 → Get best time to call back
- 3. Refusal..... 3 → Thank them for their time

**CELL**

First, for safety reasons I need to ask if this a cellular phone?

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. No ..... 2

**CLSAF**

Are you in a place where you can safely talk on the phone and answer my questions (that is you are not currently driving)? (IWR: If R cannot safely talk which included driving in a car then say "Sorry to have bothered you, we can call you back at another time." Set a GB General Call-Back.)

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. No ..... 2 → Schedule callback

**CONFD**

Before we begin I want you to know that this interview is completely voluntary and has been approved by Washington State University. While parts of this interview may be monitored by my supervisor, your answers will be kept strictly confidential. If I come to any question you would prefer not to answer, just let me know and I'll skip over it. The questions will take about 20 minutes to complete.

- 1. Yes - Continue.....1
- 2. Not a good time - Callback..... 2 → Get best time to call back
- 3. Refusal..... 3 → Thank them for their time

### Background Questions

**Q1. First, I have just a few questions about your role as a foster parent or caregiver. Between January and June of 2009 did you care for any children placed by DCFS?**

1. YES
2. NO → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- D → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
- R → Skip to End & code as Ineligible

**Q2. How many children placed by DCFS were you caring for between January and June of 2009?**

\_\_\_\_\_ # CHILDREN (0 to 99)

**Q3. Was/were (the child/children) that you cared for between January and June of 2009 in a guardianship or adopted by you?**

1. YES → Skip to End & code as Ineligible
2. Some were and some weren't
3. NO
- D
- R

**Q4. Did (the child/any of the children) who (was/were) not in a guardianship or adopted by you, spend more than 60 days in your home?**

1. YES
2. NO → Skip to Q27 and then Code as Complete-Ineligible
- D
- R

**Q5. Can you please confirm the number of children who were placed by DCFS, spent more than 60 days in your home, and were not in a guardianship or adopted by you during the period of January 1, 2009 and June 30, 2009?**

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine

**Q6. Were you licensed through the Division of Licensed Resources, known as DLR, or through a Private Agency, or were you not licensed?**

1. DLR
2. Private Agency
3. Not licensed
4. Not sure

**Q7N. In the first half of 2009, did you care for any children of a different ethnicity than you?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Throughout the survey when I say "first half of 2009" I mean the time period between January and June of 2009.

### Child Demographics

**IF Q5 >1 → For the remaining questions in this survey, we need to select one child, of the <Q05> children placed in your home by DCFS in the first half of 2009. When I say "first half of 2009" I mean the time period between January and June of 2009. I am going to refer to the child you choose as "this child." Do you have a child in mind? (IWR: Press ENTER to Continue when R has Child in mind.)**

**IF Q5 = 1 → The next set of questions are about the one child placed by DCFS, who has spent longer than 60 days in your home during the first half of 2009. Throughout the survey when I say "first half of 2009" I mean the time period between January 1, 2009 and June 30, 2009.**

**Q40. Can you please tell me whether this child is male or female?**

1. Male
2. Female

**Q41. How old is this child?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Age in Years

**Q42. In what month and year was this child placed in your home?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Month    \_\_\_\_\_ Year

**Q43. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes this child. (IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.)**

- 1 American Indian/Native American
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 Asian, Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
- 4 Hispanic or Latin American
- 5 Caucasian or white
- 6 Eskimo or Aleut
- 7 Multiple ethnicities
- 8 Other ethnicity
- 9 Not Sure

### Sibling Visits

**Q125. Does this child have a sister or brother?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q59
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q59

**Q125A. How many sisters and brothers does this child have?**

- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight
- 9. Nine or more
- D. Don't know

**Q125B. Of these, how many are full siblings, half, and step siblings?**

FULL		HALF		STEP	
0.	None	0.	None	0.	None
1.	One	1.	One	1.	One
2.	Two	2.	Two	2.	Two
3.	Three	3.	Three	3.	Three
4.	Four	4.	Four	4.	Four
5.	Five	5.	Five	5.	Five
6.	Six	6.	Six	6.	Six
7.	Seven	7.	Seven	7.	Seven
8.	Eight	8.	Eight	8.	Eight
9.	Nine or more	9.	Nine or more	9.	Nine or more
D	Don't Know	D	Don't Know	D.	Don't Know

**Q126. In the first half of 2009, were all siblings (full, half, and step) of this child placed in your home?**

- 1 Yes → SKIP TO Q59
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q126A. If all the siblings (full, half and step) were not placed in your home, how many were placed in each of these settings?**

- A. With their mother \_\_\_\_\_ (0-9)
- B. With their father \_\_\_\_\_
- C. With a relative or unlicensed family friend \_\_\_\_\_
- D. In another foster home \_\_\_\_\_
- E. In a group home \_\_\_\_\_
- F. Some other setting \_\_\_\_\_
- G. Don't Know \_\_\_\_\_

**Q126B. I am going to read a list of reasons that siblings may not be placed together, as I read each one, please tell me whether or not this is a reason that this child's sibling(s) (is/are) not placed in your home?**

A. Siblings live far apart	yes	no	DK
B. Siblings don't get along	yes	no	DK
C. Your home doesn't have room for all siblings	yes	no	DK
D. Siblings can't live together due to safety issues	yes	no	DK
E. Siblings don't know one another	yes	no	DK
F. Siblings are over age 18	yes	no	DK
G. You are not willing or able to take all siblings	yes	no	DK
H. The department didn't ask you to	yes	no	DK
I. You are not sure why	yes	no	DK
J. Some other reason	yes	no	DK

---

**Q127. Did DCFS or the court tell you not to allow visits or contact between the child and his/her siblings?**

- 1 Yes → SKIP to Q59
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q128. In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have visits with their siblings? Would you say . . .**

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

**Q128B. In a typical month in the first half of 2009, how often did this child have other forms of contact with their siblings, not including staffing meetings or court events? Would you say . . .**

- 0 Not at all
- 1 Less than once a month
- 2 Once a month
- 3 Twice a month
- 4 Three times a month
- 5 Four times a month
- 6 More than 4 times a month
- 7 Not sure

**(ASK NEXT QUESTION ONLY IF RESPONSES TO Q128 AND Q128B TOGETHER TOTAL LESS THAN TWICE A MONTH; I.E., SUM OF Q128 AND Q128B IS < 2)**

**Q128C What are the main reasons that this child has not had visits or other forms of contact with their siblings at least twice a month? (CODE RESPONSE)**

A. This child doesn't want visits or contact	yes	no	DK
B. Visits or contact have not been coordinated by the department	yes	no	DK
C. The children are physically far apart	yes	no	DK
D. Children's age makes phone or other contact unreasonable	yes	no	DK
E. Haven't got around to doing it	yes	no	DK
F. Scheduling conflicts	yes	no	DK
G. Sibling's caregiver was unsuccessful in scheduling visits	yes	no	DK
H. Sibling aged out	yes	no	DK
I. Sibling was adopted	yes	no	DK
J. Not sure why	yes	no	DK
K. Some other reason	yes	no	DK

---

#### **Adequate Information about Children's Needs**

Next, I have a few more questions about this child in the first half of 2009, from January through June.

**Q59. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's health needs in 2009?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q60. If the child was placed with you in 2009, did you receive health information for this child within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2009
4. Not sure

**[IF AGE OF CHILD IN Q41 IS <=4 YEARS → SKIP Q61 & Q62 (since they don't apply)]**

**Q61. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's education needs in 2009?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q62. If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's education history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2009
4. Not sure

**Q63. Has this child been identified as having "developmental delays"?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q64
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q64

**Q63A. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's developmental needs in 2009?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q63B. If the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's developmental history or needs within 30 days after this child was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2009
4. Not sure

**Q64. Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that you were provided adequate information about this child's behavioral needs in 2009?**

1. STRONGLY AGREE
2. SOMEWHAT AGREE
3. SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
4. STRONGLY DISAGREE
5. Not Sure

**Q65. IF the child was placed in 2009, did you receive information about this child's behavioral problems or substance abuse issues within 30 days after she/he was placed in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Child placed before 2009
4. Not sure

Here are some more questions about this child in the first half of 2009, from January through June.

**Same Behavioral Health Provider**

**Q67A. In your opinion did this child ever have a mental health crisis, in the first half of 2009?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

**Q67B. Did you attempt to get any mental health crisis services for this child in 2009?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q67C. Did this child receive any mental health treatment services for this crisis?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q67
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q67

**Q67D. Were the mental health crisis services for this child provided in a timely manner?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Not sure

**Q67. Where there any other times in 2009, that you attempted to get any mental health or substance abuse services for this child?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q78
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q78

**Q68. Did this child receive these mental health treatment or substance abuse services?**

- 1 Yes
- 2 No → SKIP to Q78
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q78

**Q70. In 2009, were the mental health services for this child provided by one clinician or by a team of clinicians?**

- 1 By just one clinician
- 2 By a team of clinicians
- 3 Not sure

**Q71. During 2009, did the same clinician or team of clinicians provide services to this child or did this child/your foster child experience a change in clinicians or treatment teams?**

- 1 The same clinician/ treatment team → SKIP to Q78
- 2 Different clinicians/ treatment teams
- 3 Not sure → SKIP to Q78

**Q72. In your opinion was this change in clinician or team of clinicians necessary to maintain or improve the quality of care for this child/your foster child**

- 1. YES
- 2. NO
- 3. OR YOU ARE NOT SURE

#### Adequate Support - A

**Q78. During the first half of 2009 did you receive timely notification at least 5 days prior, about shared case planning meetings; for example: ISSP meetings, CHET meetings, multiple placement staffings, or case conferences, for this child? (Exclude meetings that were called on an emergency basis.)**

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER

**Q57. In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency notify you about court hearings for this child within 10 working days prior to court hearings (excluding hearings called on an emergency basis)? Would you say . . .**

- 1 ALWAYS

- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER
- 6 OR WERE THERE NO COURT HEARINGS IN 2009 → SKIP to Q53

**Q58.** *In the first half of 2009, how often did the agency advise you that you would have an opportunity to be heard at these hearings? Would you say . . .*

- 1 ALWAYS
- 2 MOST OF THE TIME
- 3 ABOUT HALF THE TIME
- 4 RARELY
- 5 NEVER

#### Adequate Support - B

**Q53.** *In the first half of 2009, about how often did this child's social worker have a private and individual face-to-face visit with this child, either at home or outside of the home? Would you say . . .*

- 1. SEVERAL TIMES A MONTH
- 2. ABOUT ONCE A MONTH
- 3. ABOUT EVERY OTHER MONTH
- 4. ABOUT EVERY THREE MONTHS
- 5. ABOUT EVERY SIX MONTHS
- 6. ONCE IN THE WHOLE YEAR
- 7. NEVER IN 2009
- 8. Not sure

**Q94.** *Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by this child's social worker in the first half of 2009? Would you say . . .*

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
- 5 Not Sure

### Medically Fragile Placements

**Q33. In the first half of 2009 were you caring for a child identified by the agency as “medically fragile” (include only those children who remain in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship)**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

**I’d like to read the definition of a “medically fragile” child to you:**

***Medically Fragile Children are those who have medical conditions that require the availability 24-hour skilled care from a health care professional or specially trained family or foster family member. These conditions may be present all the time or frequently occurring. If the technology, support and services being received by the medically fragile children are interrupted or denied, the child may, without immediate health care intervention, experience death.***

**Q33A. Given this definition of “medically fragile” children, would you say that this child for whom you care meets this definition?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

**Q34. How many medically fragile children were you caring for in the first half of 2009?**

\_\_\_\_\_ # MEDICALLY FRAGILE CHILDREN

**Q35. Did you receive preparation and consultation to adequately care for this/these medically fragile child(ren)?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**Q38. In the first half of 2009 did you need medical care for this/these child(ren)?**

1. YES
2. NO → SKIP to Q110
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q110

**Q39. In 2009 were you connected to ongoing and appropriate medical care for this/these child(ren)?**

1. YES
2. NO

3. Not sure

**P-A-Y Questions**

**QR110. Was a child identified by the agency as physically assaultive or physically aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

**I'd like to read the definition of a "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" child to you:**

**Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive means those youth who have been observed to willfully assault a person with the potential of inflicting bodily harm to that person. This definition also includes youth who have made real threats to use a weapon or attempted to inflict harm to a person. Developmental level should be considered. A toddler who bites or hits is not considered physically assaultive.**

**Q110A. Given this definition of "Physically Assaultive or Physically Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

**QR109. Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as physically assaultive or physically aggressive, sometimes referred to as P-A-Y?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR111. Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q115
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q115

**QR111A. Was the plan in written form?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR111B. Was the plan discussed with you?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR113. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

#### S-A-Y Questions

**QR115.** *Was a child identified by the agency as sexually aggressive placed into your home during the first half of 2009, including only those children who remained in the custody of DCFS and not in guardianship.*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

*I'd like to read the definition of a "Sexually Aggressive" child to you:*

***Sexually Aggressive Youth*** means those youth who have committed a sexually aggressive act or other violent act that is sexual in nature, whether or not they have been charged. This does not refer to developmentally normal exploration. The sexually aggressive acts should have involved power over the victim through age difference (normally four or more years) or force/coercion.

**Q115A.** *Given this definition of "Sexually Aggressive" children, would you say that this child you care for meets this definition?*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

**QR114.** *Have you received specialized training to care for a child identified as sexually aggressive, sometimes referred to as S-A-Y?*

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR116.** *Was a supervision plan developed by the agency to support your care of this child?*

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q27
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q27

**QR116A.** *Was the plan in written form?*

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR116B.** *Was the plan discussed with you?*

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

**QR118. Did the plan meet the safety and supervision needs of the children in your home?**

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

### Adequate Support - C

The next few questions are about your interactions in the first half of 2009 with the agency.

**Q87. In the first half of 2009, were there any times when you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver and asked the agency for help?**

1. Yes
2. No → SKIP to Q93
3. Not sure → SKIP to Q93

**Q88. About how many times did this happen in the first half of 2009?**

\_\_\_\_\_ # TIMES IN 2009

**Q89. The last time you had a crisis or emergency related to your role as a caregiver in 2009, and asked the agency for help, did you get a timely response? Would you say. . .**

- 1 YES, RIGHT AWAY
- 2 YES, BUT IT TOOK AWHILE
- 3 NO
- 4 Not sure

**Q93. How supportive is the agency in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during 2009? Would you say they are . . .**

1. VERY SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q96
2. SOMEWHAT SUPPORTIVE → SKIP TO Q96
3. SOMEWHAT UNSUPPORTIVE
4. VERY UNSUPPORTIVE
5. Not sure --SKIP TO Q96

**Q93A. What's the main reason that you say the agency was unsupportive in helping you deal with cultural or ethnic issues during the first half of 2009?**

\_\_\_\_\_ **OPEN END**

**Q96. Overall how satisfied are you with the quality of help and support provided by the agency in the first half of 2009? Would you say . . .**

- 1 VERY SATISFIED
- 2 SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3 SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4 VERY DISSATISFIED
- 5 Not Sure

### Adequate Training for Role Responsibilities

**Q27. Overall, thinking about ALL of the training that you have had in the last three years, how adequately has it prepared you to care for the needs of foster children placed in your home? Would you say . . .**

- 1 VERY ADEQUATELY
- 2 SOMEWHAT ADEQUATELY
- 3 SOMEWHAT INADEQUATELY
- 4 VERY INADEQUATELY
- 5 Already prepared – did not need the training
- 6 Have not had any training
- 7 Not Sure

### Demographics

Now, I have just a few questions about you for statistical purposes.

**Q144. (ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY) For survey purposes, I need to ask, are you . . .**

1. MALE
2. FEMALE

**Q145. In what year were you born?**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q146. How would you describe the geographic area in which you live? Would you describe it as . . .**

1. A RURAL AREA
2. A SMALL TOWN
3. A SUBURB
4. A CITY OR URBAN AREA
5. Not sure

**Q147. Please tell me the racial or ethnic background that best describes you. [IWR: Read categories if R gives you a race or ethnicity that does not fit the list.]**

- 1 AMERICAN INDIAN
- 2 BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN
- 3 ASIAN, HAWAIIAN, OR PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 4 HISPANIC OR LATIN AMERICAN
- 5 CAUCASIAN OR WHITE
- 6 ESKIMO OR ALEUT
- 7 MULTIPLE ETHNICITIES
- 8 SOME OTHER ETHNICITY
- 9 Not Sure

**Q148. What is the highest grade that you completed in school?**

- 1 None, or grades 1 through 8
- 2 High school incomplete, grades 9 through 11
- 3 High school graduate, grade 12 or GED
- 4 Business, technical, or vocational school AFTER high school
- 5 Some college, but no degree
- 6 Associate (2-year) college degree
- 7 College graduate (4-year), BS, BA, or other
- 8 Post graduate training or professional school
- 9 Masters degree
- 10 Doctorate degree
- 11 Not Sure

**Q153. What is your zip code? \_\_\_\_\_**

**Q154. In which COUNTY do you live?** IWR: This is asking for County NOT Country

1. Adams
2. Asotin
3. Benton
4. Chelan
5. Clallam
6. Clark
7. Columbia
8. Cowlitz
9. Douglas
10. Ferry
11. Franklin
12. Garfield
13. Grant
14. Grays Harbor
15. Island
16. Jefferson
17. King
18. Kitsap
19. Kittitas
20. Klickitat
21. Lewis
22. Lincoln
23. Mason
24. Okanogan
25. Pacific
26. Pend Oreille
27. Pierce
28. San Juan
29. Skagit
30. Skamania
31. Snohomish
32. Spokane
33. Stevens
34. Thurston
35. Wahkiakum
36. Walla Walla
37. Whatcom
38. Whitman
39. Yakima
40. Not sure
41. Out of State

**QLAST This is my last question. Do you recall doing an interview with us in 2007, 2008, or 2009 about your foster care experiences?**

- A. Yes – in 2007
- B. Yes – in 2008
- C. Yes – in 2009

**Closing**

**Q155. That completes the survey. Your help is greatly appreciated. If you have any additional comments or questions about Washington's Foster Care system or about this survey, I can take note of them now.**

---

**Thank you very much for taking the time to participate in this survey and share your opinions about these issues. Thank you!**

Section O. Next section is filled out by the interviewer about the quality of the interview  
**[CATI NOTE: Use standard interviewer questions]**

## Survey Letter

November 6, 2009

«FNAME» «LNAME»  
«ADDR1»  
«ADDR2»  
«CITY», «STATE» «ZIP»

Dear Foster Parent or Caregiver:

We need your help! I am writing to let you know that you will be contacted sometime in the next two weeks for a telephone survey of foster parents. The survey is being conducted as part of the Braam Settlement Implementation Plan, and the purpose is to gather information about your experiences to ensure that you are getting the training and support you need.

Your participation is voluntary, but is crucial to the survey's ability to accurately represent the opinions of all foster parents in Washington State. This is an opportunity for you to tell the Children's Administration and the Braam Oversight Panel how things are going.

In order to ensure your confidentiality, Washington State University's Social and Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC) is conducting the survey. All information about you will remain in a confidential form here at SESRC and no personally identifying information will be shared with anyone else, including the Braam panel, and Children's Administration. Your answers will be combined with those of other survey participants so that individual answers cannot be identified in the results. Overall survey results will be used by the Braam Panel and by DCFS (Children's Administration) to identify ways of improving the training and support provided to foster parents.

Results will be available early next year (2010), at the Children's Administration website: <http://www1.dshs.wa.gov/ca/fosterparents/index.asp> and on the Braam Panel website. This is the fourth year that a survey of foster parents has been undertaken. The results from the three previous year's surveys of over 1200 foster parents and relative caregivers are available on the Braam Panel website at [www.braampanel.org](http://www.braampanel.org).

The interview will take 20 minutes or less. If the interviewer calls at an inconvenient time, they will gladly make an appointment to call again at a more convenient time.

If you have any questions about the survey or about your participation please call SESRC at 1-800-833-0867 and ask to speak with the Braam survey coordinator, or you may email us at [sesrc@wsu.edu](mailto:sesrc@wsu.edu). You may also call us if you want to schedule a specific appointment time for the interview. Information about the survey is also available at the Braam Panel website at [www.braampanel.org](http://www.braampanel.org).

Thank you so much for your time and for the care you provide every day!

Sincerely,



John Tarnai  
Director



*World Class. Face to Face.*

---

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center  
Washington State University  
P.O. Box 644014  
Pullman, Washington 99164-4014  
Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116  
<http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu>  
sesrc@wsu.edu

---